#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

RENEE BUTZ,	)	
Plaintiff v.	)	C.A. No. 05-495 (JJF)
LAWNS UNLIMITED, LTD., and EDWARD FLEMING,	)	
Defendants.	)	

#### APPENDIX TO DEFENDANTS' OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP William W. Bowser, Esquire (Bar I.D. 2293)
Margaret M. DiBianca, Esquire (Bar I.D. 4539)
The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor
1000 West Street
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19801-0391
Telephone: (302) 571-5008

Facsimile: (302) 576-3476 mdibianca@ycst.com
Attorneys for Defendants

Dated: October 17, 2007

6246977\_2 065789.1001

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

2007.10.17 Jointly Stipulated Uncontested Facts	A1
2007.08.29 Excerpts from Fleming Deposition Transcript	A6
2007.08.28 Excerpts from Butz Deposition Transcript	A28
2006.02.04 Cecil County Government Application	A129
2005.02.25 Jeanne Fleming Timeline	A133
2005.02.25 Howard Letter to DDOL re: Charge Response	A135
2005.02.25 Edward Fleming Timeline	A151
2004.06.17 Group Agreement, Optimum Choice	A152
2004.03.26 Unemployment Hearing Appeals Decision	A157
2004.02.23 Optimum Choice Subscriber Reconciliation	A162
2004.02.18 Cecil County to Butz Offer of Employment	A167
2004.02.17 DDOL Charge of Discrimination	A168
2004.02.11 Cecil County to Butz Letter of Hire	A173
2004.02.06 Fleming Fax to Howard re: Unemployment Claim	A174
2004.02.05 DDOL Employer Fact Finding Document	A176
2004.02.03 Notice of Employment Separation re: Butz	A179
2004.01.24 DDOL Sexual Harassment Questionnaire	A180
2004.01.24 DDOL Discipline Questionnaire	A186
2004.01.22 Fleming to Optimum Choice re: Butz Disenrollment	A192
2004.01.08 Fleming Fax to Howard re Termination Letter	A194
2004.01.07 Fleming to Butz Termination Letter	A196
2004.00.00 Watson Vacation Request Forms	A198
2004.00.03 Affidavit of Watson	A205
2003.12.31 Lawns Unlimited Year-End Summary re: Payroll	A207
2003.12.29 Bayside Health Absence Excuse	A231
2003.10.01 Lawns Unlimited Office Manager Job Duties	A232
2003.01.01 Butz Agenda for Employee Meeting	A235
2003.03.19 Butz Vacation Request Form	A240
2002.11.04 Fleming Fax to Optimum Choice re: Insurance Waiver	A241
2002.10.16 Butz Performance Appraisal	A245
2001.01.01 Lawns Unlimited Vacation Policy	A247
2001.01.01 Lawn Unlimited Policy Handbook	A249

6246977\_2 065789.1001

RENEE M. BUTZ	)
Plaintiff,	) C.A. No.: 05-495 (JJF)
v.	)
LAWNS UNLIMITED LTD., and EDWARD FLEMING	) ) )
Defendants.	)

#### JOINTLY STIPULATED FACTS

WHEREFORE, the parties agree and understand that dispositive motions brought pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure can be determined only where there "is no genuine issue as to any material fact." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). In order to provide the Court with as clear a record as possible, the parties hereby stipulate that, for the limited purposes of summary judgment, the following facts are not in dispute:

- Defendant Lawns Unlimited, Ltd. ("Lawns"), is a small business operating in Milton, Delaware.
- 2. Lawns provides various types of lawn care, including landscaping, hardscaping, and irrigation services, among others.
- 3. Lawns was founded by Defendant Edward Fleming and his wife, Jeanne Fleming in 1987.
- 4. Including the Flemings and their children, Lawns currently has approximately thirty employees.
  - 5. Lawns employees are divided into two general categories—Field and Office.
  - 6. Field employees work directly on-site at the job location.

DB02:6276777.6

1 065789.1001

- 7. Mr. Fleming, who holds the title of President of Lawns, works hands-on in the Field. He also shares the responsibilities for Office employees with his wife.
- 8. Defendants hired Plaintiff in September 2002 to replace the previous Office Manager.
  - 9. Plaintiff held the position of Office Manager for her entire period of employment.
  - 10. Dina Alderucci was hired after Ms. Schatz left in June 2003.
  - 11. Debbie Watson replaced Ms. Alderucci in November 2003.
  - 12. In the Field, the Foremen are key employees.
  - 13. Mauricio Miranda and Hugo Sanchez have been Lawns' two Foreman.
- Plaintiff began working at Lawns on September 4, 2002, as a temporary employee 14. with permanent employment as the final goal.
  - 15. Plaintiff became a full-time Lawns employee on October 16, 2002.
  - 16. Defendant terminated Plaintiff effective December 23, 2003.
- 17. Beginning in approximately October 2003 until the time of her termination, Plaintiff commuted to Defendants' office in Milton from her home in Newark, Delaware.
- 18. Plaintiff began her new job as a Junior Accountant for the Cecil County Government on March 1, 2004.
  - 19. Plaintiff received a bonus in 2002.
  - 20. Plaintiff did not receive a bonus in 2003.
  - 21. Plaintiff did not receive a pay increase on or after her first anniversary date.
  - 22. Plaintiff received unemployment compensation after her termination from Lawns.
  - 23. There are two full-time Office positions, Office Manager and Office Assistant.
  - 24. Plaintiff announced her pregnancy in approximately April 2003.

DB02:6276777.6 065789.1001

- 25. Defendants knew that Plaintiff intended to take maternity leave following the birth of her child.
- 26. In an e-mail dated December 24, 2003, Plaintiff told Defendants that her maternity leave had begun, effective December 23, 2003.
- 27. Defendants believed that Plaintiff had secured other employment prior to December 23, 2003.
- 28. For the past twenty years, the Flemings have operated the business and actively manage its operations.
  - 29. Office employees handle the payroll, accounting, and other administrative duties.
- 30. Mrs. Fleming, who holds the titles of Secretary and Treasurer, shares responsibilities for the behind-the-scenes operations of the business.
- 31. During the December 24, 2003, telephone conversation, Plaintiff told Mr. Fleming that she intended to return to work after her maternity leave.
- 32. During the December 24, 2003, telephone conversation, Plaintiff's husband told Mr. Fleming that she intended to return to work after her maternity leave.
- 33. Plaintiff called the Lawns office on January 5, 2004 after the birth of her child, and left a message.
- 34. Plaintiff's father-in-law called Defendant after Plaintiff received her termination letter.
- 35. In the Termination Letter, Defendants cited increased health care premiums as a reason for Plaintiff's termination.
- 36. Plaintiff believes that Defendants terminated her due to increased health care premiums.

DB02:6276777.6 065789.1001

- 37. Defendant's appeal of the findings of the Delaware Unemployment Insurance Referee was unsuccessful.
  - 38. At the time of her termination, Butz was paid \$12.00 per hour.
  - 39. The number of Lawns employees eligible for these employee benefits is low.
- 40. The only Field employees who have been eligible for benefits were Miranda and Sanchez, the key foreman.
- 41. As owners, the Flemings also receive certain benefits, such as health and life insurance.
- 42. Defendants provided its key employees with paid time off as well as paid vacation.
  - 43. Key employees were paid at their regular rate for certain designated holidays.
  - 44. Plaintiff was paid for each of the designated holidays during her employment.
  - 45. Request forms were submitted to Mr, Fleming for approval.
  - 46. Debbie Watson utilized Vacation Request Form.
- 47. Plaintiff had health care coverage immediately after she became a permanent employee without a waiting period.
- 48. At the time of Plaintiff's employment, Defendants' health insurance provider was Optimum Choice.
- 49. At the time of Plaintiff's employment, Defendants' health care plan provided for "employee-only" coverage.
- 50. At the time of Plaintiff's employment, Lawns paid 100% of the health care premium for key personnel.
  - 51. Plaintiff moved to the Bethany area from Cecil County, Maryland in 2002.

DB02:6276777.6 065789.1001

- 52. Plaintiff moved to Bethany area to be with her now-husband, Scott Butz, who had moved to the area for a job.
- 53. As Office Manager, Plaintiff was responsible for accounts receivable and accounts payable, payroll, and general clerical duties such as taking phone messages and filing paperwork.
- 54. As Office Manager, Plaintiff was responsible for communicating and developing workplace procedures.
- 55. In 2003, Defendants held a staff meeting that all employees were required to attend.
- 56. At the meeting Plaintiff spoke/addressed workplace procedures and practices including the importance of teamwork and the value of feedback and employee suggestions.
- 57. At the staff meeting, Plaintiff encouraged the employees to communicate their ideas or suggestions with her or Laurie Schatz.
- 58. At the meeting, Plaintiff explained the importance to accurately record time worked on a Lawns production sheet.
- 59. At the meeting, Plaintiff explained that accounts payable invoices must be kept current and that any problems should be brought to her attention right away.
  - 60. At the meeting, Plaintiff discussed the tardiness and absenteeism policies.
  - 61. Plaintiff invited the Fleming family to her wedding.
  - 62. Plaintiff invited Mrs. Fleming and their children to her baby shower.
- 63. Mrs. Fleming spent most of the summer of 2003 visiting her mother in Missouri, who was suffering from terminal lymphoma cancer.
  - 64. Mrs. Fleming's mother passed away on November 13, 2003.

DB02:6276777.6 065789.1001

65. Mr. Fleming put Scott Butz in touch with a potential job lead.

#### YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

/s/ Renee M. Butz

Renee M. Butz, Pro Se Plaintiff 58 Hickory Drive North East, Maryland 21901 Telephone: (410) 441-4300 Email: renee@scottbutz.com

Pro Se Plaintiff

/s/ Margaret M. DiBianca, Esq.

Margaret M. DiBianca (No. 4539)
The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor
1000 West Street
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391

Telephone: (302) 571-5008 Facsimile: (302) 576-3476 Email: mdibianca@ycst.com Attorneys for Defendants

DB02:6276777.6 065789.1001

A6

#### Butz v. Lawns Unlimited, Ltd. and Fleming

Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE RENEE M. BUTZ, Plaintiff; ) Civil Action No. ) 05-495-JJF LAWNS UNLIMITED, LTD., and EDWARD FLEMING, Defendants. Deposition of EDWARD WILLIAM FLEMING taken pursuant to notice at the Law Offices of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor, 1000 West Street, Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 2:24 p.m. on Thursday, August 30, 2007, before Ann M. Calligan, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public. APPEARANCES:

RENEE M. BUTZ, pro se

MARGARET M. DiBIANCA, Esquire
YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
The Brandywine Building - 17th Floor
1000 West Street
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391
on behalf of the Defendants.

WILCOX & FETZER

1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

302-655-0477

- A. It was presented to you at the time of your
- <sup>2</sup> employment with Lawns Unlimited.
- Q. Does the policy cover the health plan?
- <sup>4</sup> A. The employee policy?
- $^{5}$  O. Yes.
- 6 A. No.
- $^{7}$  O. Why not?
- 8 A. Because it doesn't.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. What about leave policy?
- A. What type of leave policy are you talking
- 11 about?
- Q. Medical, non-medical, short-term leave,
- 13 long-term leave?
- <sup>14</sup> A. No.
- Q. Why not?
- A. Because it's not in there. It doesn't cover
- <sup>17</sup> it.
- Q. Since there's no written leave policy, what is
- the company's practice in handling medical and
- non-medical requests for leave?
- A. What type of leave are you asking?
- Q. Medical or non-medical?
- A. For medical leave -- for sick time, for
- vacation, and personal days off, there's a form that

- needs to be filled out and authorized by myself or
- Jeanne so that the office manager knows exactly what
- day a particular employee was off so it can be
- documented if they are receiving paid time off,
- boliday pay, sick time off, personal days. How long
- they plan to be off. When they return back to work.
- <sup>7</sup> It can be taken as a full day or it can be taken for a
- 8 few hours depending upon the leave.
- 9 Q. How about unpaid leave?
- 10 A. Unpaid leave is the same. On that form it will
- have paid leave or unpaid leave. So that we know when
- employees, after it's authorized, when they will be
- leaving work and when they will be returning to work
- so that we can continue to run the business
- effectively.
- Q. Why are so many of your policies not
- documented?
- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Why are so many of your leave policies, your
- vacation policies, your health insurance not covered
- in your employee handbook?
- A. It's not included in the employee policy.
- Q. I'm asking you, why not?
- A. Because it's not.

- 1 know when it was.
- Q. Do you have any vacation forms when I went on
- yacation?
- <sup>4</sup> A. I don't have your file in front of me.
- Here's one here 3/19 of '03. So that's in
- 6 here. 10:00 o'clock, 11:30.
- Q. Based on your testimony, I had to wait one
- year, which would have put us November of '03. So how
- <sup>9</sup> did I get paid vacation?
- A. Well, vacation is lumped into personal time
- off, which would be sick leave and also personal days
- off, and you are qualified for that after two months
- of employment.
- $^{14}$  Q. That would have been three days, 24 hours. So
- can you explain the additional?
- A. No. You -- you got paid for vacation. You got
- lucky I guess and got paid for paid time off. That
- you weren't supposed to.
- Q. But you sign off on payroll, correct?
- A. I sign off on the payroll, that's correct. The
- $^{21}$  time sheets, I sign off on the time sheets. You
- produced the payroll checks.
- Q. Was office personnel treated any differently
- than field personnel with regards to completion of the

- were off so that you knew what to pay them based on if
- they were there that particular day or not there that
- <sup>3</sup> particular day.
- O. So, from my understanding, you're saying no, so
- the office staff had to fill out these forms as well
- 6 as the field office.
- 7 A. Field office?
- Q. Field personnel.
- <sup>9</sup> A. I only had one office --
- Q. Field personnel?
- 11 A. Well, you would fill them out for them so that
- you could track their time so that you could figure
- out if they were going to get paid for that day or not
- get paid for that day.
- Q. So the office manager would fill out the field
- personnel's vacation forms?
- A. They would make sure to get them filled out if
- they were not there that particular day so you could
- do your payroll. Yes.
- Q. If the office manager filled out the forms,
- then how could they be approved?
- A. When you filled the forms out, that gave you a
- running paper trail so that you could do your payroll,
- $^{24}$  so that you can make sure that they were -- most all

- A. I remember when you were pregnant we were very
- happy for you, yes. You were very excited and happy
- also. And our kids -- my wife was very excited for
- you too. My children.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Do you remember when you -- when did you
- 6 discuss her pregnancy?
- A. Do I remember when I discussed your pregnancy
- 8 with whom?
- 9 Q. Was it daily, weekly, every day, or you know,
- all ninth months?
- 11 A. No. I don't remember. But I'm -- when you
- went on your check-ups, we always asked you how
- things -- how you were doing and you would bring in
- the pictures, the sonograms. And we were excited to
- see those. Having five children of our own, we are
- very happy and excited for you and Scott.
- 17 Q. How often would you have discussed her
- 18 pregnancy?
- A. I don't know, Renee.
- Q. Did plaintiff discuss maternity leave with you?
- <sup>21</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Did plaintiff train employees to perform her
- job during her maternity leave?
- A. As much as you could, yes.

Page 53

- you were unable to be reached by telephone.
- Q. So you never spoke to plaintiff?
- MS. DiBIANCA: Objection. Misrepresents
- 4 his testimony. Go ahead and speak.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Well, defendant said many, many phone calls not
- being returned. When were these messages left?
- A. I talked to you on December 23rd. We were very
- 8 excited for you to have this baby. We were -- I
- offered for your family and your mother-in-law and
- father-in-law and your mom and dad, if they needed to
- use our house to shower or whatever the case may be,
- which is only a mile from Beebe hospital.
- I left the day that you were leaving to go
- to the hospital. Scott and your mom came into the
- driveway. I waved to them real big, and they didn't
- react at all. I went Christmas shopping, came back,
- and Debby said, "Ed, sit down. I need to tell you
- something."
- Debby only was with us a very short time,
- as you know. And she told me that you were leaving;
- you weren't coming back. You have my letter my
- timeline in there. And we were headed -- I was
- leaving the office. And I was headed down to church
- to go to confession with my family.

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

- And I called you on the phone. I was
- devastated. I said, "Renee, you are like family to
- <sup>3</sup> us. You are the office manager. I just want to
- $^4$  know -- let you know what Debra Watson has said to
- me." I said, "I need for you and for her to come into
- the office tomorrow, whatever time is convenient for
- you to get down here." I said, "This is not fair to
- me to have two individuals, one saying one thing, one
- 9 saying another." I said, "I trust your judgment.
- You've been here longer than her. I just need you
- quys to come down here." I said, "I need to figure
- out who's telling the truth and who's not telling the
- 13 truth."
- Q. Were these other many phone calls after
- December 23rd, when did you call again?
- A. Well, I called your cell phone. You gave Debra
- Watson a different phone number and instructed her
- that I could not use that phone number. And she was
- the only one that could use that phone number to call.
- So I called your cell phone because we had questions
- about other items.
- The last phone call that I was able to
- call you on was the next morning, December 24th. I
- talked to your husband Scott. He would not allow me

Page 55 1 to talk to you. He informed me that you were officially on maternity leave and she doesn't have to 3 talk to you or see you until the end of that maternity leave. And I asked him nicely. I said, "I need to 5 talk to Renee. This is between Renee and I." I said, "I need to know what's going on. She's the office manager of our company. I can't be left hanging, can not be left like this." I said, "I need to figure out 8 who's telling the truth. I should not be put in this 10 position, especially yourself because you were part of 11 the family. You went to the girl's swim meets and 12 Shane's swim meets. You went to business meetings 13 with the family. We went to your wedding." 14 We just felt that it was -- I was just 15 trying to gather the facts, but I could not get any 16 phone calls from you. I could not get any 17 correspondence back from you until after the letter 18 was written. Then I got correspondence from your 19 father-in-law. At that point in time, your 20 father-in-law and I had a nice talk. We went over the 21 exact timeline that I just explained to you, what 22 happened. And he said, "Will you give Renee her job 23 back?" I said, "Please, just have her give me a 24 call." Period. We don't have any labor contracts in

- 1 Lawns Unlimited. We never had a labor contract in
- Lawns Unlimited. All we wanted to do was to hear from
- you, Renee. And that's it. And we couldn't get any
- 4 correspondence out of you.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. So after December 24th, you no longer spoke to
- <sup>6</sup> plaintiff?
- A. I was unable to speak to the plaintiff because
- 8 she would not return phone calls. She gave Debby a
- <sup>9</sup> telephone number that I couldn't use. I didn't
- understand that. Jeanne and I were both devastated.
- 11 And you know, Jeanne's mom -- the reason why Jeanne
- wasn't there most of the summer is because her mom had
- terminal lymphoma cancer, and she had passed away on
- November 13th. And she was gone for two to three
- weeks to the funeral and to take care of the estate.
- So she had a very traumatic 2003, trying
- to raise four children plus work in the office plus
- take care of her mom in Missouri, which was 1200 miles
- away. And I had extra responsibilities on myself also
- because I had to take care of the children, which
- would have been four children left at home. Shane was
- in college in Denver -- University of Colorado at
- Boulder, Colorado.
- Q. So you did not speak to plaintiff on December

Page 57

- <sup>1</sup> 26th or 29th of December?
- A. I don't remember. I don't think I did, but I
- know Debby did because we had a problem with the
- 4 computer and Debby had your phone number.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Did Debby have plaintiff's cell phone number?
- A. No. She had another phone number that only she
- <sup>7</sup> could use.
- 8 We waited for your call after I talked to
- 9 your father-in-law. We did not fill your position.
- And I guess we were saddened and disappointed that you
- didn't call to talk to us at all about the baby and
- about your job.
- Q. Did plaintiff call you to let you know the
- birth of her child?
- A. Yes, she did. She left a message after the
- baby was born.
- <sup>17</sup> Q. Did you call plaintiff to congratulate her?
- A. I don't remember. But all I know is the kids
- were very excited. We were very excited. And it
- would have been nice to get a phone call so we could
- come see you at the hospital, and the baby. But
- Debby's testimony said that Scott was not going to
- allow us to see the baby, and if we did go into the
- room, that he would escort us out of the room.

A17

- It would have been from today, so it would
- have been a late number, above 10.
- I have it as Butz 19.
- MS. BUTZ: Yes. Butz 19.
- MS. DiBIANCA: I don't know if he needs
- it, but just so it's on the record.
- 7 BY MS. BUTZ:
- <sup>8</sup> Q. Did you call the plaintiff after writing the
- <sup>9</sup> termination letter?
- 10 A. No. We were waiting for you to call after I
- spoke to your father-in-law.
- Q. Did your wife buy the plaintiff a baby gift?
- A. Yes, she did. When she was out in Missouri,
- she bought the baby gift in Missouri, and then flew
- 15 home.
- 16 Q. Did the plaintiff's husband Scott provide IT
- assistance to Lawns Unlimited?
- <sup>18</sup> A. What's IT?
- 19 Q. Information technology. Networking, computer
- networking, computer problems, questions.
- $^{21}$  A. At the house, yes. And I think when you had
- problems at the office, he did, yes.
- Q. Was he paid for these services?
- MS. DiBIANCA: I want to get back to the

- <sup>1</sup> Q. Was it sent certified?
- <sup>2</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. What date did you terminate plaintiff's health
- 4 insurance?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Based on the letter, it was December 31st of
- 6 2003. Coverage was extended to January 14th of 2004.
- <sup>7</sup> O. When was she notified of cancellation?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Was plaintiff offered COBRA?
- MS. DiBIANCA: I'm going to object because
- actually the judge did rule in the order that COBRA
- was not an issue. But go ahead and answer.
- 13 A. I don't know.
- Q. Did plaintiff ever tell you she was not coming
- back to work?
- A. No, but she would not return our phone calls.
- 0. Prior to termination, did you ask plaintiff for
- a doctor's note concerning plaintiff's time off?
- A. Can you repeat that again please.
- O. Prior to termination, did you ask plaintiff for
- a doctor's note concerning plaintiff's time off?
- 22 A. On December 23rd, I handed you a vacation
- request form for time off so that you could put down
- exactly when you were going to be back. I left before

- 1 you left, and you did not fill it out. So I didn't
- 2 know when you were planning on coming back. I
- requested this. You did not fill it out. And we did
- 4 not get a doctor's notice when your maternity leave
- <sup>5</sup> was started.
- Q. Do you know why plaintiff would not fill out
- <sup>7</sup> the form at best?
- 8 A. I don't know why you didn't fill it out. No, I
- 9 don't know why you didn't fill it out. Can you tell
- 10 me?
- 11 Q. Did you provide any documentation of the fact
- that you asked for this information?
- 13 A. Can you repeat that, please.
- Q. Can you provide any documentation of the fact
- $^{15}$  that you gave the form to the plaintiff or asked for a
- doctor's note?
- A. When you were in the office getting your
- things -- you were in the office that morning to get
- the some of the paperwork that you had done, and I
- went over and handed you the paper. I said, "Renee,
- please fill this out. I know you're going to be going
- on maternity leave. I need to know how long and when
- you are going to be back." When I got back to the
- office, the form was sitting right there, and it was

- <sup>1</sup> blank.
- $^{2}$  O. Did you indicate that your insurance premium
- was much higher due to pregnancy medical bills?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Actually the lawyer wrote the letter and I
- <sup>5</sup> signed it.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. So you signed something without reading it?
- A. I guess I didn't read it carefully enough, but
- 8 I did sign it.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. So you did not write the termination letter?
- <sup>10</sup> A. No.
- 11 Q. I'm sorry?
- <sup>12</sup> A. No.
- 13 Q. How would he have gotten the dates?
- MS. DiBIANCA: How would who have gotten
- 15 the dates?
- 16 Q. How would his attorney have gotten the date of
- the termination for --
- A. We gave him the dates. I gave him the dates.
- 19 Q. And how could be come up with a \$23,000 figure?
- A. I don't know. Maybe I called Beebe hospital on
- $^{21}$  a C-section. I don't know.
- Q. Did plaintiff's spouse promise you on December
- 24 that plaintiff would be returning to work after
- maternity leave?

Page 76 1 0. What personal belongings did plaintiff remove from the office? 3 Everything that she had in the office. Α. What items were they? Ο. 5 Pictures, all the stuff that you brought to put Α. 6 on your desk. Your name. You had your name plaque there. You had pictures. You had all of your 8 belongings that you put on your desk, I guess. Did plaintiff provide defendant's attorney 10 settlement offers? 11 MS. DiBIANCA: Again, absolutely object. 12 Settlement can't come in. And we are getting near 13 five o'clock too. 14 MS. BUTZ: I'm almost done if you'd like 15 to take a break. 16 MS. DiBIANCA: Okay. 17 (Recess taken.) 18 MS. DiBIANCA: Can we have Fleming 3. 19 (Fleming Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked 20 for identification.) BY MS. DiBIANCA: 22 Go ahead and read through it. Ο. 23 Α. Okay. 24 Does this look like plaintiff's performance Ο.

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

302-655-0477

- Q. So according to Butz 17, based on that, one of
- the things you're basing your decision on is the
- information contained in that document?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And I'm going to talk about only Ms. Butz's
- 6 employment and shortly thereafter. So if I don't
- specify so, you can assume that. That is the time
- 8 frame.
- 9 What was the vacation policy for new
- employees?
- 11 A. Vacation policy for new employees is one year
- <sup>12</sup> after their anniversary date.
- Q. How much time were they entitled to take?
- A. 40 hours or more. A business week.
- Q. Did that subsequently increase over time the
- longer they worked?
- <sup>17</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. So if Ms. Butz actually took vacation time
- prior to completing her one year of service, do you
- know why that would have been?
- A. It must have been an oversight on my part if
- she took vacation. The forms weren't filled out and
- she was paid for it.
- Q. After the termination letter -- I believe it's

- <sup>1</sup> A. No.
- Q. Can you give me some evidence of something I
- 3 could use to believe that? Do you have children
- 4 yourself?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yes, I do. My wife has been pregnant five
- times, and I'm still married.
- $^{7}$  O. And did she work?
- 8 A. She -- as soon as the babies were born, she had
- <sup>9</sup> them on her knee and she worked every day and night.
- 10 Q. Does she still work?
- A. Yes, she does.
- 0. And does she work for Lawns Unlimited from time
- 13 to time?
- A. Yes, she does.
- <sup>15</sup> Q. Did you and your wife interview plaintiff?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And were hiring decisions made jointly between
- the two of you?
- A. Yes. They were.
- 0. And were firing decisions made jointly between
- the two of you? Did you share responsibility for
- those decisions?
- And I'm sorry. I should be fair. I'm
- only referring to office personnel.

- Q. Are you aware of any law or rule that would
- require an employer to provide health care insurance
- 3 coverage above and beyond what they normally offer to
- <sup>4</sup> an employee?
- <sup>5</sup> A. No.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. Did you have any reason to believe that your
- wife harbored any kind of animus toward pregnant
- 8 women?
- <sup>9</sup> A. No. Not at all.
- Q. Mr. Scott Butz, your relationship with him was
- what prior to the 23rd of December?
- A. Renee's husband.
- Q. Did you have interaction with him as far as his
- work went?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Yes.
- O. Can you give me an example?
- A. Well, Scott and Renee were having a tough time
- because Scott was laid off from his job, and we -- I
- had contacted several of my friends and customers to
- try to get Scott a job within his -- I guess his
- work -- line of work, computer programming. I got him
- a job interview at Inervet and I can't remember who
- the other companies were off the top of my head.
- But I set him up with the head computer

- person at Inervet who was the scoutmaster to our
- troop. And I was assistant cubmaster or scoutmaster
- of the troop when Shane was going through his Eagle
- Scout, and his son got his Eagle Scout at the same
- time Shane did. And I got him in contact with Mark
- and Mark got him in right away and gave him an
- <sup>7</sup> interview for a job.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you reached out and
- <sup>9</sup> tried to help the plaintiff and her husband?
- <sup>10</sup> A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you did that why?
- 12 A. Because I felt bad for them. They were trying
- to get on their feet. They were young. You know, he
- just lost his job. He was trying to get contacts to
- get into places. And I felt that it was my obligation
- as, you know, Renee working there that we should try
- to help him out.
- Q. You wanted to help him out, is that fair?
- <sup>19</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. If you know, the Optimum Choice insurance
- coverage offered to and received by plaintiff during
- her employment, are you familiar with that, generally
- speaking, that plan?
- A. The insurance that she had?

- 1 10, 15, 25. I can't remember but that's --
- Q. Fair to say there was, in fact, a co-pay?
- A. Oh, yes. Mm-hmm.
- And the other nice thing is we paid
- <sup>5</sup> 100 percent of the insurance. That's what we wanted
- 6 to do.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Do you pay 100 percent of the insurance now?
- <sup>8</sup> A. No, we don't.
- 9 Q. How much do you pay now?
- 10 A. 75 percent.
- 11 Q. Do you know the time that you switched that to
- <sup>12</sup> 75 percent coverage?
- <sup>13</sup> A. March 15, 2004.
- Q. And why did you do that, if you recall?
- A. It's just getting too expensive to continue
- with the 100 percent.
- Q. It was a cost issue, then?
- <sup>18</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Just give me one moment. I just want to find a
- document.
- A. I remember when I was working with Monsanto
- 22 Chemical Company, which was a huge corporation and
- Jeanne was having all the babies, I mean, it was
- $^{24}$  costing us -- it was an 80/20 program and it was

- costing us like \$2,000 to have the insurance back
- $^{2}$  then, you know. 1986. '85, '86, '88, and 1990.
- Q. Would that explain at all why, as indicated in
- one of the documents that's been admitted, although I
- don't recall the number, why Lawns chose to extend
- 6 Ms. Butz's insurance coverage throughout the birth of
- <sup>7</sup> her child?
- <sup>8</sup> A. Yes. We didn't want her to be burdened with
- <sup>9</sup> them, with those expenses.
- 10 Q. And so Lawns Unlimited took the initiative
- themselves. That was not something that the insurance
- company reached out for, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that's generally not
- something that you do for someone you harbor an animus
- 16 towards?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Yesterday we painstakingly went through lots
- and lots of numbers reflecting Ms. Butz's submitted
- damage. Do you recall that testimony that she gave?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. If you recall, some of the expenses that she
- had, medical expenses that she has listed were in
- amounts like \$15, or \$60, a variety, some of them

#### Butz v. Lawns Unlimited, Ltd. and Fleming

Deposition of RENEE M. BUTZ taken pursuant to notice at the Law Offices of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor, 1000 West Street, Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 9:13 a.m. on Wednesday, August 29, 2007, before Ann M. Calligan, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public.

#### APPEARANCES:

RENEE M. BUTZ, pro se

MARGARET M. DiBIANCA, Esquire
YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
The Brandywine Building - 17th Floor
1000 West Street
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391
on behalf of the Defendants.

WILCOX & FETZER

1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

302-655-0477

Butz v. Lawns Unlimited, Ltd. and Fleming Renee M. Butz - DiBianca

		Page 17		
1	Α.	'94.		
2	Q.	Did you attend college?		
3	Α.	Yes.		
4	Q.	And which college did you attend?		
5	Α.	Goldey Beacom.		
6	Q.	And did you graduate from Goldey Beacom?		
7	Α.	Yes, I did.		
8	Q.	What year?		
9	Α.	'99.		
10	Q.	With what degree?		
11	Α.	A B.S. in accounting and minor in business		
12	management.			
13	Q.	After that, any kind of post-graduate work?		
14	A.	No.		
15	Q.	You said you were married, correct?		
16	A.	Yes.		
17	Q.	And the name of your husband?		
18	A.	Are you talking about my current		
19	Q.	Yes.		
20	Α.	Scott Michael Butz.		
21	Q.	When did you marry him?		
22	A.	September 6, 2003.		
23	Q.	And you're living together now?		
24	Α.	Yes.		

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

302-655-0477

- <sup>1</sup> A. Down in Millsboro.
- Q. So did you move because of the job site move
- $^{3}$  or --
- <sup>4</sup> A. No. I moved to the beach and then they allowed
- me to work, continue working in Millsboro.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. How long did you continue at the Millsboro
- <sup>7</sup> facility?
- <sup>8</sup> A. It was couple months.
- 9 Q. What was your job title there -- I'm sorry.
- 10 Accounts payable manager. Is that what you continued
- to do in the Millsboro as well?
- 12 A. No. My job function there was payroll.
- Q. And did the job function change? Did that
- correspond with the move to Millsboro?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Correct.
- And I just want to emphasize. I moved
- down there because of my husband living down there.
- 0. Okay. That's fine. That was my next question,
- <sup>19</sup> so...
- This is Scott Butz, right?
- A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Were you married at that time?
- <sup>23</sup> A. No.
- Q. And you said he moved. Was he, I guess, living

Page 31 1 Ο. Obviously we are going to go back to them, so I'm going to skip forward now and go to current 3 employer. Who is your current employer? Α. Cecil County Government. And what's your job title there? Ο. Junior accountant. Α. What office do you work in? 0. Α. Elkton. Do you like the junior accountant job at Cecil Q. 10 County Government? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. Are you currently seeking other work? 13 Α. No. 14 Ο. After Lawns, that was the first place that you 15 worked; there was no employer in between? 16 Α. No. 17 And I'm going to guess the -- are you under a Ο. 18 doctor's care at the present time? 19 Α. Regular doctor? 20 0. Any doctor. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. For what condition? 23 Α. Pregnancy.

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

Any other reason?

302-655-0477

Ο.

24

Page 34 going to be reviewing and we are actually going to go 1 through that. So that's fine. You can certainly 3 clarify if anything comes up later? 4 Let's go ahead and start with that list. 5 Actually before that list, I think is -- well, the 6 next page, interrogatory response number 9, you've provided a list of damages that you are claiming, is 8 that correct? That would be on page 5. 10 Is that correct? 11 I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? Α. 12 0. That's quite all right. 13 Your response to interrogatory number 9 is 14 a list of damages that you're claiming, is that 15 correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Pain and suffering in the amount of \$50,000, is 18 that correct? 19 Α. Correct. 2.0 And punitive damages in the amount of \$50,000, is that correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 And medical expenses in the amount of 0. 24 \$1,127.25, is that correct?

- <sup>1</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. Is that correct?
- <sup>3</sup> A. Yes.
- <sup>4</sup> O. So maybe you can give me a little more
- information based on this chart, sort of how you came
- <sup>6</sup> up with these numbers, what they are representative
- <sup>7</sup> of?
- 8 A. The -- not having my information with me, the
- 9 \$32.44 is the premium that is biweekly. And I times
- $^{10}$  it by 24 weeks. And that is how many weeks that I
- paid out in 2004. And then so forth in 2005 and 2006,
- they were based on 26 weeks.
- Q. So when would the 2004 biweekly insurance
- premium payments have started?
- <sup>15</sup> A. March 1st of '04.
- Q. But you are not asserting damages past April
- <sup>17</sup> 22nd, 2004, is that correct?
- A. Can you repeat the question?
- 19 Q. Is it correct that you are not claiming damages
- 20 past April 22nd, 2004?
- A. For the medical?
- Q. For anything?
- 23 A. Not for the medical premium -- or for the
- medical premium, yes. But for everything else, no.

- 1 March of 2004 for the rest of the year, biweekly
- payments, that's right?
- <sup>3</sup> A. Correct.
- <sup>4</sup> Q. Why were you January and February not included
- <sup>5</sup> for 2004?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Because I was not employed at Cecil County
- Government.
- Q. So have you produced any documents in support
- of the amount of the premium? Is there any document
- that I have been given that I can verify the amount of
- 11 your premium?
- 12 A. I have to go back through the initial
- disclosure information that I gave.
- Q. Do you believe that you may have produced
- documents relating to your current employment?
- A. I believe I gave some information to my current
- employment.
- 18 Q. If you could, just turn back to Butz Number 1
- and look at question number 16 and your response
- thereto. If you could review the question and the
- response for me, and then when you have reviewed them,
- just let us know.
- <sup>23</sup> A. Okay.
- Q. And could you tell us what the question said,

- Q. And you are still paying those premiums, is
- <sup>2</sup> that correct?
- $^3$  A. Yes.
- <sup>4</sup> O. When will defendants no longer owe you
- 5 compensation for insurance premiums paid to your
- 6 current employer?
- A. I quess until we settle this case.
- Q. Explain to me the connection between your
- 9 insurance premiums and defendant's, if you can.
- 10 A. Can you elaborate a little bit?
- 11 Q. Well, I'm looking actually for you to elaborate
- because I don't think I quite understand how the two
- <sup>13</sup> are connected.
- 14 A. The defendants insurance was 100 percent
- covered. Now I'm paying for it.
- Q. And your current insurance, have you told me
- anything about your current insurance coverage?
- <sup>18</sup> A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you provided the Court with any
- information about your current insurance coverage?
- <sup>21</sup> A. No.
- Q. Is your current insurance as good as it was
- <sup>23</sup> with Lawns?
- A. I don't know until -- I don't know until I look

Page 50

- through, which we discussed prior.
- Q. Do you have the same co-pay?
- <sup>3</sup> A. If my recollection -- without looking at the
- documentations, I believe so.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And do you have coverage for yourself and for
- <sup>6</sup> your husband --
- <sup>7</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. -- with your current employer?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you have coverage for yourself and your
- 11 husband at Lawns?
- <sup>12</sup> A. No.
- Q. Would that be a major difference in the premium
- payment?
- A. This premium payment is based on single, not
- 16 family.
- Q. But you do have family coverage?
- <sup>18</sup> A. Yes.
- MS. DiBIANCA: And then let's actually
- enter Butz 5, I believe it is, which will be the
- Court's order, DI 100.
- 22 (Butz Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for
- identification.)

24

- Lawns Unlimited.
- Q. And tell me how we are trying to do that?
- <sup>3</sup> A. By taking single coverage and not family
- 4 coverage premium --
- <sup>5</sup> Q. But you do -- go ahead.
- <sup>6</sup> A. -- premium amount, and that was the
- <sup>7</sup> calculation.
- 8 Q. So you do have family coverage, is that
- 9 correct?
- <sup>10</sup> A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you currently pay more than what's listed on
- 12 here?
- <sup>13</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. What do you currently pay?
- A. It's terrible. I don't remember.
- Q. Have you produced any pay stub relating to your
- current employment?
- A. Do I have any pay stubs?
- 19 Q. Have you produced any?
- <sup>20</sup> A. No.
- Q. Why haven't you?
- A. Judge's order.
- Q. Did you request that you not be required to
- 24 produce them?

- <sup>1</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And why did you request that?
- A. It's not relevant to this case.
- <sup>4</sup> Q. Do you believe that it's relevant now?
- $^5$  A. Just to premium amounts.
- 6 Q. So thus far, as of today, you have not
- <sup>7</sup> produced -- just want to be clear -- you have not
- 8 produced any documents that would reflect either --
- <sup>9</sup> I'll do it one at a time.
- 10 As of today, you have not produced any
- documents that reflect what insurance coverage you
- receive from the Cecil County Government, is that
- 13 correct?
- <sup>14</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. And then, sort of a similar question but I want
- to separate it into two, as of today you have not
- produced any documents that reflect your premium
- payments for your current employer's health care
- coverage, is that correct?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. Have you produced any other documents that
- reflect anything related to your current insurance
- 23 coverage?
- A. I don't believe so.

Page 56 1 you --What documents would help you? Ο. 3 Documents from my house regarding my insurance Α. 4 policies. 5 So like the plan itself, would that --0. Correct. The summary page. Α. Do you know what your current co-payments are? 0. I should know this. If I can recall, I believe Α. it's 10 and 20. 10 Reflecting what? 0. 11 20, I believe, is specialty. And 10 is Α. 12 reqular. 13 And for prescription? Ο. 14 I don't remember offhand. Α. 15 Are you currently getting prescriptions filled? Ο.

- Q. Do you recall how much the co-pay was?
- A. I believe, without looking at my receipt, I
- believe it was 10 or 15.

Yes.

- Q. Do you need a referral to see a specialist on
- your current plan?

Α.

16

- A. I don't know without looking at it.
- Q. What about emergency room visits, do you know
- how much that would cost as a co-pay?

- A. No. I don't without looking at documentation.
- Q. Have you been to the emergency room since
- you've worked at Cecil County Government?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> O. And when was that?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Several months ago I believe.
- O. Was it in 2007?
- <sup>8</sup> A. Perhaps.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. What was it for?
- 10 A. It was for -- gosh -- abdominal pain.
- Q. Were you admitted?
- <sup>12</sup> A. No.
- Q. Were you referred to a specialist?
- <sup>14</sup> A. No.
- Q. Were you referred back to your primary care
- physician for follow-up treatment?
- $^{17}$  A. Yes.
- Q. You don't recall what the emergency room
- service co-payment was then?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. For your maternity care, how much is that
- co-payment?
- A. I think that one's 20.
- Q. Who do you see for maternity care?

Page 59 1 MS. DiBIANCA: Certainly. Could you actually read it back so we get an accurate 3 transcription? (Record read.) 5 I believe so. Α. 6 BY MS. DiBIANCA: You believe so. Do you have any doubt, or is 0. 8 that a yes or unsure? I just want to be clear. I have doubt. Α. 10 Tell me what your doubt is. Ο. 11 I don't know the law. I'm an accountant. Α. 12 0. Well, I'm very sure that I'm not really 13 referring to the law specifically. I'm just asking 14 you -- you calculated the damages. Based on what you 15 are now asserting as damages, how you got to the 16 figures that you got to based on however, you 17 determine them. If you were to use the same standards 18 and apply them under these circumstances, would you 19 have doubt? 20 Α. Yeah. I do have doubt.

Q. Right. I agree. Definitely that's correct.

and white and comparing everything, I don't know.

Go ahead and tell me why.

0.

Α.

21

22

23

I just -- without looking at everything black

- 1 I'm not asking you to determine whether they are
- comparable or whether they are equivalent. All I'm
- saying, if you determine later that they are
- <sup>4</sup> equivalent, in that case, would Lawns still owe you?
- <sup>5</sup> And that's one question.
- The second question is, if your current
- <sup>7</sup> care is better than Lawns, under both circumstances,
- 8 would Lawns still owe you?
- <sup>9</sup> A. It would have to be a lot better.
- O. Tell me how?
- 11 A. Just better coverage -- I mean, I can't get
- into more detail than that because I'm not an expert
- in those types of things. I mean, I would have to
- look at it line by line.
- Q. I guess what I'm saying is, you're claiming
- that you have health care coverage and you're claiming
- now that, despite the fact that you have health care
- coverage, that Lawns is still liable to you to pay
- your health insurance premiums. So I'm trying to
- determine how long that's going to go on into the
- future. Are they going to be liable to pay your
- health care premiums forever and ever and ever into
- eternity? If you end up getting better much, much
- better coverage and you are paying for it, should they

- Lawns be liable for your choice to do so?
- <sup>2</sup> A. No.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. Tell me why.
- <sup>4</sup> A. Because they are not compatible.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. So it's based on comparable insurance coverage?
- 6 A. Correct.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. But at this time, you do not know whether or
- 8 not they are comparable?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Not without looking at my information.
- 10 Q. Tell me exactly what information you need to
- 11 determine that?
- 12 A. The insurance summary pages.
- Q. And would you need an expert to testify on
- $^{14}$  that?
- <sup>15</sup> A. No.
- Q. So if we had a summary page -- do you know what
- a summary page generally includes?
- A. Typically, yes.
- Q. And what does it include?
- A. Usually your breakdown of the co-pays and
- 21 deductibles.
- Q. So that's what it will be, then, basically
- based on co-pays and deductibles is how you would --
- A. And out-of-pocket expenses.

- two summary pages and look at the co-pays, the
- deductibles, and the out-of-pocket expenses, which I
- will presume would be on both, we would be able to
- 4 make a valuation of which is the better plan, is that
- 5 correct?
- $^6$  A. We should, yes.
- $^{7}$  Q. I should in that there would be a reason why I
- 8 wouldn't be able to?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Well, you got to compare apples to apples.
- That's what I'm just saying. I mean, one summary page
- can have more breakdown, where the other one is more
- generic. I mean, until we actually look at the
- documentation, it's -- we can go back and forth all
- day long on this.
- So I will produce the summary pages from
- my current insurance company, and then we'll go from
- there.
- Q. Have you compared the two already?
- <sup>19</sup> A. No.
- Q. Then how did you determine that you are owed
- the \$30 premium, approximately #30 premium, if you
- don't know if one is better or if they are comparable?
- A. When this was submitted, it was on a time
- factor, and I don't know the rules. I don't know how

- calculations work. I was just going based on prior
- documentation from the Courts and using that.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. Meaning what?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Using other people's cases to help me with my
- 5 case.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. What other people?
- A. Just the Court's -- other court records. I can
- 8 not give you exact cases.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. Where did you get them from?
- <sup>10</sup> A. Through books.
- 0. Where were the books?
- 12 A. At the library, on Google and Yahoo.
- Q. What library?
- A. Cecil County.
- Q. Cecil County in Elkton?
- A. Correct.
- Q. What kind of books?
- 18 A. How to prepare for a case. How to be your own
- <sup>19</sup> attorney.
- Q. So I think we are on the same page now in that
- you did not compare the two summary pages or even the
- plans generally, compare the Lawns plan to your
- current plan, is that right?
- A. Correct.

- Q. So you did get these numbers, roughly \$30. You
- did get that from your HR department?
- <sup>3</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. It is related to single coverage?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. But past that, it's based on speculation, is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And on Google and Yahoo, what did you look up?
- 10 A. You can actually type up cases, depositions.
- You can find anything on the Internet.
- Q. Did you find out something about depositions on
- the Internet?
- $^{14}$  A. Yes.
- 0. What did you find out?
- A. How to prepare.
- Q. And how did it say to prepare?
- A. Stay calm.
- <sup>19</sup> Q. Anything else?
- A. It's one-sided argument.
- Q. That's how to prepare?
- A. Pretty much.
- Q. When I asked you earlier at the beginning of
- the deposition what you did to prepare for the

- talked about earlier in DI 107, marked today as
- Butz 1, the various computations you've made for lost
- wages, including earnings lost over time, vacation,
- <sup>4</sup> personal, time, holiday pay, and bonus pay.
- If we can, can we just start with earnings
- lost, which was the first one on the list? Can you
- <sup>7</sup> explain how you reached that number?
- 8 A. That was the amount that I would have been paid
- 9 if I was working at Lawns Unlimited minus the
- unemployment amount.
- 11 Q. And what period of time does that reflect?
- 12 A. That -- without looking at my notes, I'm not
- sure exactly.
- 0. What notes are there?
- 15 A. It would be the stubs from unemployment.
- 16 Q. So that would be the document that you
- 17 produced?
- 18 A. It should be one of the documents, I believe.
- 19 Q. If you did submit that, would that have been
- submitted with your initial disclosures?
- A. Yes. If it was submitted.
- Q. Well, do you have in your possession at home or
- elsewhere -- not here with you today, but do you have
- a copy of your unemployment pay stubs?

- A. I only have one, but I have the 1099 or
- whatever they sent you.
- Q. Did you submit that, the 1099?
- <sup>4</sup> A. I don't believe so.
- $^{5}$  Q. Why not?
- $^6$  A. I'm not really sure.
- O. Did you believe it was relevant?
- 8 A. I believe that defendant should have that
- <sup>9</sup> information.
- Q. But without counselling you on the law, that's
- irrelevant to whether or not you have copies of it.
- So I'm just looking through your initial disclosure
- documents, and there is nothing -- I'll represent to
- you there is nothing here relating to unemployment.
- 15 I'll just finish it quickly, but it does not appear
- there is.
- So do you recall any documents that you
- have produced reflecting unemployment payments you've
- 19 received?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Do I --
- Q. I'm sorry. Do you recall what, if any,
- documents have you produced relating to your
- unemployment payments?
- A. I believe -- I thought I included the one slip,

- but I can get that information if it was not included.
- Q. That would have been a pay stub?
- A. It would have been the pay stub, yes. Slash
- <sup>4</sup> 1099 or whatever form they call it.
- $^{5}$  Q. Did you produce copies of your tax returns?
- 6 A. No.
- $^{7}$  O. Why not?
- 8 A. Because there's other information that is not
- <sup>9</sup> relevant to this case.
- Q. Did you, in the library or on the Internet,
- look up any information to determine what is relevant
- to this case and what's not relevant to this case, or
- did you just reach that conclusion on your own?
- 14 A. I don't remember looking that information up.
- <sup>15</sup> Q. So --
- A. I -- go ahead.
- O. No. Go ahead.
- $^{18}$  A. Go ahead.
- 19 Q. DI 107, which we had looked at before,
- number 16 that you read and reviewed earlier where you
- objected to the request to the extent it's irrelevant
- and based on the judge's ruling. That was your
- response to when defendants requested information
- about income, employment benefits, Social Security,

- what you received at Lawns Unlimited, is that correct?
- $^2$  A. Like I said, I don't have that information. I
- don't have pay stubs.
- O. So that is correct?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. Just for clarity purposes, I'm not referring
- $^7$  only to pay stubs. I'm referring to anything. It
- 8 could be anything at all such as income taxes. There
- 9 is no documentation produced to show what you earned
- at Lawns, not just limited to pay stubs, is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 0. Next on the list is overtime. Could you
- explain that claim for me, please?
- A. Mr. Fleming did not pay me some overtime that
- was -- that I worked.
- O. A little more detail.
- A. He subtracted it from my time sheet, which I
- gave you a copy of, one of the copies.
- Q. You produced --
- A. But that happened more than once.
- Q. So right now you're claiming more than one
- occasion or you're claiming one occasion, total of ten
- hours?

Page 86 1 Α. Correct. Were there any other times that that happened? O. 3 That overtime was subtracted? Α. Right. Ο. Yes. Α. When were those times? Q. Between the time that I was training which Α. 8 would have been around October, even probably as far back as September into December. 10 So it occurred after you had copied the time Q. 11 sheet, is that what you're saying, into December? 12 Α. I believe so, if the time sheet was dated in 13 November, yes. 14 Q. You didn't print copies of those time reports? 15 Α. I don't have those copies. I only made one 16 Just once. сору. 17 Why did you think it is that you would have Q. 18 been paid for some overtime and not for others? 19 I don't know. Α. 20 0. Did you do payroll? 21 Α. Yes. 22 So tell me how it is that you did payroll and 0. 23 didn't pay yourself overtime? 24 Α. Because I don't have the authorization to pay

- somebody unless Ed signs off on it.
- Q. So how would that work, you would submit a time
- 3 card or a generated time card and it would have a
- 4 certain amount of hours and then it would be literally
- <sup>5</sup> subtracted from that?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Correct.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. And your contention is that it was subtracted
- because they did not want to pay you.
- <sup>9</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. But they did pay you all other occasions?
- $^{11}$  A. Yes.
- Q. Did anyone else not get paid for overtime?
- $^{13}$  A. Yes.
- Q. Who were they?
- A. Roberto Gonzales, also known as Raul Rodriguez.
- $^{16}$  There was times where Hugo -- there were times where a
- lot of people out in the field didn't get overtime.
- 18 Q. How would you be aware of that?
- A. Because I do payroll.
- Q. And tell me, you're doing payroll but what's
- the connection between you doing payroll, whatever
- that means, and you actually knowing what someone
- worked versus someone got paid?
- A. Because they used the clock to clock in and

- Q. Did all employees get vacation?
- <sup>2</sup> A. No.
- O. Who was entitled to vacation?
- $^4$  A. Office staff and Hugo and Mauricio.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And office staff, was that because -- or were
- they all full-time employees?
- A. Everybody's full time.
- $^{8}$  Q. You said you got it when you started there.
- 9 How much? How much time did you get when you started?
- 10 A. I believe I got 40 hours of vacation time, and
- then my two or three personal time.
- Q. And different employers do it, I'm sure you
- know, lots of different ways as far as when that time
- accrues versus when you can use it. There when could
- you use it, if you recall?
- A. I used mine pretty much right away.
- Q. Some employers will allow you to do that. And
- some make you wait for a period of time. You were
- eligible for use right away. Did you get more than
- one week subsequent to that, or did it stay one week
- vacation time?
- A. What do you mean?
- Q. I'm sorry. That wasn't very clear.
- When you started your employment, you were

- eligible for one week paid vacation, is that correct?
- <sup>2</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. And then in year 2, did you become eligible for
- additional time and vacation, in other words, more
- 5 than one week?
- <sup>6</sup> A. I don't believe so.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. So at the time that you left, you were still on
- 8 one week?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yeah. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you remember taking vacation time?
- $^{11}$  A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you remember when that was?
- A. In February '03 -- no. February '03. Yeah.
- February '03 and then again in September of '03?
- O. You took a full week both of those times?
- <sup>16</sup> A. No.
- Q. You split it up?
- A. Correct. I took four days or -- four days in
- 19 February. I took a week off, but only got paid for
- four days. And then one day in September. I got paid
- for that day in full.
- Q. You took one vacation day in September that you
- were paid for?
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.

- Q. Not employed by defendants in January '04?
- <sup>2</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. And you did receive full compensation in 2003
- for your paid vacation for that year, is that correct?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Correct.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. So the only unpaid vacation time that we are
- <sup>7</sup> talking about would be January of '04, is that
- 8 correct?
- <sup>9</sup> A. January of '04, yeah, for that year.
- Q. How many days was it on the claim?
- <sup>11</sup> A. Five days.
- 0. Oh, it is. Okay.
- <sup>13</sup> A. 40 hours for vacation.
- Q. So it wasn't that you didn't -- sorry. I
- shouldn't use too many negatives in one sentence.
- Your claim is not that you -- I'm going to have to say
- it again. Sorry. So strike that. We'll start again.
- You don't assert that you were not paid
- accrued but unused vacation in '03, is that right? I
- know that's a lot of double negatives. I'll turn it
- 21 around. Do you assert that you were not paid for
- accrued but unused vacation time in 2003?
- A. Based on how I was getting vacation, I should
- have been paid my vacation for 2004 starting in 2003.

- Q. So that is not what I thought, then. So we'll
- have to go back. Go ahead and explain that to me.
- A. Well, I was hired in November, and --
- <sup>4</sup> O. That's when you became full time or that's what
- <sup>5</sup> you were hired as a temp?
- A. I think that's when I went permanent.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Okay.
- 8 A. To my recollection that I can recall, that's
- <sup>9</sup> when I went permanent.
- 10 Q. In November 2002?
- <sup>11</sup> A. Two.
- 0. Okay.
- A. And I got vacation the beginning of 2003.
- Q. January?
- <sup>15</sup> A. February.
- Q. February?
- A. That's when I took it.
- Q. When did you look become eligible for it,
- 19 though?
- A. From my understanding it started right away.
- Q. In November?
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. So from November -- I think I've got it now.
- From November 2002 till November 2003, you had

- calendar year?
- A. That -- I believe so. I don't know.
- Q. How would you know, when you were doing
- <sup>4</sup> payroll, how would you know whether or not to pay
- someone for time they were off?
- 6 A. Mr. Fleming.
- $^{7}$  O. Would --
- 8 A. Would notify me or let me know that they were
- <sup>9</sup> on vacation and pay them.
- 10 Q. You said you took in September one day. So I
- 11 presume that means that you could have taken
- intermittent days. Employees didn't have to take all
- 13 five days consecutively; they could have taken one day
- a month if that's what they wanted to do?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How is that different from personal days?
- 17 A. I think, if I can remember, personal days were
- like sick days. They could be scheduled or
- unscheduled.
- O. How would they accrue?
- A. I believe they were the same way as the
- vacation time.
- Q. Run on the anniversary calendar based on your
- day of hire?

Page 103 1 0. Where was your doctor? Three minutes down the street. Α. 3 What was his or her name? Q. It was Bayside ob/gyn. Α. 5 So all of your ob/gyn appointments, your Ο. 6 maternity care, or prenatal care, rather, are from 7 November to December 2003; you didn't take any days 8 off for that? Α. No. 10 And no time off for other purposes? 0. 11 Other than those days that I can recall at this Α. 12 point. 13 Other than what days? 0. 14 Α. It was the February and the vacation. 15 But not from November to December '03? Ο. 16 Not that I can recall, no. Α. 17 And then holiday pay is next. Holiday pay, 0. 18 eight hours, unpaid Christmas and New Year's Day. 19 Did you normally get paid time for 20 holidays? Α. Yes. 22 Did you get your regular rate of pay or did you Ο. 23 get --24 Regular. Α.

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

302-655-0477

- something similar?
- <sup>2</sup> A. To bonuses?
- Q. Maybe I should clarify. Did other employees at
- $^4$  the end of the calendar year receive a bonus in the
- <sup>5</sup> form of cash or a gift certificate or anything like
- 6 that?
- $^{7}$  A. Yes.
- 8 O. And who received that?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Pretty much everybody.
- 10 Q. And did they all receive the same thing?
- <sup>11</sup> A. Different amounts.
- Q. Do you know why some people would receive more
- or less?
- A. That was Mr. Fleming's decision.
- 0. Was it based on seniority?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. So you don't know how the he came up with the
- number 395, plus \$100 gift certificate.
- <sup>19</sup> A. No.
- Q. And it wasn't explained to you when you
- <sup>21</sup> received it?
- <sup>22</sup> A. No.
- Q. How was it explained to you if at all?
- A. Just a bonus.

- Q. Let's talk about your job duties, if you could
- tell me about your job duties.
- A. Answering the phone, collections, which is more
- or less customer receive -- you know, customer
- <sup>5</sup> service, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and
- $^{6}$  getting the payroll together, and handling the taxes.
- $^{7}$  Q. Were you in charge of like the documents,
- filing, things like that?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Filing, accounts payable.
- Q. Okay. But not general office filing?
- 11 A. You have to get more detailed than that.
- 12 Q. The paperwork, were you filing papers that
- weren't accounts receivable or payroll related?
- 14 A. Accounts receivable was pretty much in the
- system. Sales office was responsible for the rest of
- $^{16}$  it. Payroll was just put in a file as their W2s or
- $^{17}$  not -- W4s.
- Q. What about new hires, did their paperwork come
- through to you at any time? That's what I'm talking
- about, general office filing?
- A. Their W4s was given to them by Ed, and then
- they would give me the paperwork and it would be
- filed.
- Q. The employees would give them to you?

- A. No. It would be given to me by Ed.
- O. Any other duties that you had at that time?
- Your duties, did they change? I should probably say
- $^4$  that first. Did they --
- <sup>5</sup> A. I oversaw those. Saw all that. Plus I was in
- 6 charge of the data, the computer conversion. But then
- <sup>7</sup> it didn't really change. I just took less
- 8 responsibility on when somebody else was hired.
- 9 Q. But the nature of your job didn't change; you
- didn't have a different title or become promoted or
- demoted or anything like that.
- <sup>12</sup> A. No.
- Q. And your job title was -- I may have asked
- $^{14}$  this, and I apologize if I'm asking it again. Do you
- recall what your official job title was?
- A. Office manager.
- Q. What would your interaction have been with the
- employees, the non-office employees?
- A. Just to make sure they gave me their work
- orders.
- Q. And work orders, they are like a time sheet,
- like a work done report that they would fill out?
- Would that be accurate?
- A. It's -- yeah. Well, to be a little bit more

- specific, on the actual form, it would be that they
- went to client number 1 at this time and then client
- number 2 this time and this is what they did there and
- 4 this is more or less job allocation form would be the
- 5 better term for it.
- Q. And so they turned that in to you at the end of
- <sup>7</sup> the week?
- $^8$  A. At the end of the day.
- 9 O. And what if someone didn't turn it that?
- 10 A. I would go looking for them the next day.
- 11 Q. Did that happen once in a while, regularly,
- often?
- 13 A. Once in a while. They were pretty good about
- <sup>14</sup> it.
- Q. Any other interactions that you would have had
- with non-office employees?
- $^{17}$  A. If they came in and said hello, but that was --
- because -- to the end of it.
- Q. And your hourly rate when you left was how
- 20 much?
- <sup>21</sup> A. 12.
- Q. I don't have it in front me, but would you
- switch back to the first one, interrogatory responses?
- A. This one?

- Q. Yes. I think if said 12.75. I thought it said
- <sup>2</sup> 12.75 when you calculated time.
- A. That's -- I was promised a raise at my review.
- 4 So that was based on the calculation.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. So you didn't actually earn 12.75?
- 6 A. No.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. When was your review?
- $^{8}$  A. That was October, November.
- 9 O. That would have been an annual review?
- <sup>10</sup> A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So for concluding the November to November
- year, anniversary year --
- <sup>13</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. -- and you were promised a raise?
- A. Based on what everybody else gets and based on
- the excellent review, yes.
- Q. Did anyone ever promise it to you?
- A. Jeanne discussed it.
- Q. And what was discussed?
- 20 A. She said she'll discuss it when she bets back.
- Q. So the 12.75 figure, then, isn't, in fact, what
- you were earning?
- <sup>23</sup> A. No.
- Q. Then the health insurance was through what

- organization? What was the insurance carrier?
- <sup>2</sup> A. With Lawns Unlimited.
- Q. We are just on Lawns right now.
- <sup>4</sup> A. MAMSI or Optimum Choice, whatever they go by.
- $^{5}$  Q. And it's MAMSI, I think?
- <sup>6</sup> A. I think so.
- O. Was that a PPO, HMO?
- A. I'm not sure how it was set up.
- 9 Q. When you said before PPO and POS, PSO, I don't
- really know what that means.
- 11 A. I don't either. I just know the abbreviations.
- 0. So it doesn't matter, then, at this point. I
- just, don't actually know what that is.
- Do you remember what your co-pays were,
- deductibles were? I know we talked a little bit about
- that before.
- 17 A. I can remember one of my co-pays being ten, but
- 18 I'm not sure the specifics.
- 19 Q. Dental insurance, did they carry that?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Life insurance?
- A. I believe that -- yes.
- Q. Was that paid for by Lawns?
- <sup>24</sup> A. Yes.

Page 128 1 0. Do you have life insurance now? Yes, I do. Α. 3 Q. Is that paid for as well? Α. No. You pay for that? Ο. Α. Yes. Disability insurance? 0. I don't recall. Α. Pension? 0. 10 There was an IRA offered. Α. 11 MS. DiBIANCA: Let's go to Butz 1 again. 12 I just have to find that. 13 What is that the DI number? 14 MS. YUEN: 47. 15 MS. DiBIANCA: That makes it easier. 16 Okay. 17 BY MS. DiBIANCA: 18 So we are going to go to your response to 19 interrogatory number 6, which is on page 4. 20 Now, Lawns Unlimited, the insurance coverage that you received there, was that what I call 22 employee only coverage? Do you know what I mean when 23 I say employee only coverage? 24 I understand. Α.

- 1 Q. Is that what Lawns offered?
- $^{2}$  A. Yes.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. So you were the only insured under that policy,
- 4 is that correct?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. When, as a new employee, were you entitled to
- <sup>7</sup> insurance coverage at Lawns?
- <sup>8</sup> A. Effective immediately.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. There was no waiting period?
- <sup>10</sup> A. No.
- Q. For any employee?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. What I'd like to do now is go through the
- medical expenses that you list here on your response?
- $^{15}$  A. And go through the corresponding documents as
- well. These are documents that you've already
- provided. I just want to make sure I understand
- what's being asserted.
- MS. DiBIANCA: Let's make the whole pack
- as one exhibit. So this is going to be Butz 8.
- 21 (Butz Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked for
- identification.)
- 23 BY MS. DiBIANCA:
- Q. You'll see that the P numbers, the plaintiff's

- on there?
- A. Yes, it is.
- <sup>3</sup> O. That reflects what?
- <sup>4</sup> A. How much I paid on that specific a date and
- 5 what check number.
- 0. So other than the ones that have an actual
- <sup>7</sup> credit card receipt, I don't actually have proof of
- payment in here. All I have is invoices, is that
- 9 correct?
- A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So when you said you were getting together
- other documents?
- 13 A. I just received some more documents from the
- doctor.
- Q. Do they include proof of payment or just
- 16 invoices?
- A. It was a summary with payment.
- Q. Okay. So that would be a proof of payment,
- sure.
- <sup>20</sup> A. Yeah.
- Q. So if you go towards the end of the packet, I
- believe it's the fourth from the end. It's a Bayside
- Health Association invoice. The P number is P67.
- 24 A. Okay.

- Q. It says on the right-hand, sort of bottom side,
- previous balance, insurance and patient. If you're
- not able to remember or understand, please, you know,
- don't guess. I'm just wondering if you can provide me
- with more information than what this is.
- I believe -- let me see. Let's take a
- <sup>7</sup> look.
- $^8$  A. I'm not sure what that bottom stuff is, but I
- <sup>9</sup> know what the form is.
- 0. Okay. The form is what?
- 11 A. It's when you go for a check-up. This is the
- form that the ob/gyn used to fill out, and you would
- have to give to the women for billing.
- Q. So on your list, DI 107, it says you submitted
- February 11, 2/11/04, Bayside Health, ob/gyn,
- postpartum visit, \$15, paid?
- <sup>17</sup> A. Correct.
- 0. And then that is one of the amounts that's
- blank. So where on this does it say, "paid 15"?
- A. It just says P, pay, next to it.
- 0. What does that mean?
- A. I'm not sure. But when I walked in there, I
- had to pay at least that amount.
- Q. So that this doesn't reflect payment, is that

- 1 correct?
- $^2$  A. Correct.
- Q. So if you put \$15, does that mean that your
- 4 co-pay was at least \$15? Is that what you are saying?
- I mean, we don't have any basis whatsoever
- fight now to correct the \$15 on your chart to this
- 7 record at this time. Is that right?
- 8 A. I would have to guess, yeah, that \$15 would
- <sup>9</sup> have been some type of co-pay.
- Q. But we don't know; you don't know, is that
- 11 right?
- Do you have any documents for it at this
- 13 time?
- A. For this specific one?
- <sup>15</sup> O. Yes.
- A. No. I don't have any documents on me.
- Q. And any documents that you've already
- 18 submitted?
- A. Not for Bayside.
- Q. And then the previous page in that same exhibit
- is another Bayside bill, and that's dated January
- <sup>22</sup> 14th. And that looks like exactly the same type of
- $^{23}$  thing, and you have on DI 107, for 1/14, you list
- Bayside again and again list paid as \$15. But that

- Q. Even on your chart there's no description on
- <sup>2</sup> the table, right?
- A. I don't see it there.
- Q. So we have -- and this is not signed, this
- <sup>5</sup> receipt, correct?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Doesn't look like it.
- Q. And it looks like it's your husband's credit
- 8 card, is that correct?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. So we do have a payment for Dr. Funk in the
- amount of \$65, but we do not know for what patient or
- for what services rendered, is that correct?
- <sup>13</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. Did the insurance reject your prescription
- <sup>15</sup> medicines?
- $^{16}$  A. Yes.
- 0. Tell me about that.
- A. When I went to go get birth control on February
- 19 1st, it was declined.
- 0. It would have been declined as of February 1st.
- <sup>21</sup> I'm with you. What about before February 1st?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Well --
- A. I don't think I had prescription before that.

- Q. Well, you do. You have them listed here.
- January 1st, January 1st, January 4, January 4. And
- you only paid a few dollars for each of them. So I
- $^4$  assume that the medicine actually cost more than that,
- more than four or five dollars per prescription, but
- it was submitted at that time to the insurance. And
- has the insurance since then come back and said,
- 8 "Actually, you weren't covered"? Like you are now
- saying or doing with Dr. Funk or any other doctor?
- <sup>10</sup> A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you think that's because you were actually
- 12 covered?
- 13 A. It depends on when Mr. Fleming actually
- cancelled my insurance.
- Q. Well, based on the prescription drugs, would
- you say it's fair to assume that you were still
- covered as of January 4 of 2004?
- 18 A. If he made the phone call after January 4th --
- Q. That's not what I'm asking. I'm saying, based
- on the fact that you only had to pay less than \$5 per
- prescription, is it fair to assume you were covered by
- insurance?
- A. Assume, yes.
- Q. Is there any reason to not believe that?

- <sup>1</sup> A. Don't know.
- Q. I mean, it's not a trick question. I mean,
- honestly, have you ever heard of any prescription
- 4 medicine that cost less than \$5 without any insurance
- <sup>5</sup> coverage?
- A. Some of my medicines can cost under \$5.
- O. With no insurance coverage?
- <sup>8</sup> A. Yes. Because I picked up a prenatal that was
- <sup>9</sup> pretty inexpensive.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. But I would have to guess probably it was
- 12 covered. I don't know.
- Q. Probably it was.
- How would we find out whether or not it
- was? You're saying it wasn't. I assume you're saying
- it wasn't based on the fact that you're assessing
- damages for it?
- $^{18}$  A. Yes.
- 0. How do we find out that it wasn't?
- A. I guess you have to call insurance company.
- Q. Didn't I ask that in the interrogatory
- <sup>22</sup> question?
- Didn't I ask in number 8 on page 4 of 10
- pages in document 107 marked as Butz 1, "Identify and

- Q. Did you give me Dr. Funk's statement?
- A. I just got that recently.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. When did you get Bayside Health?
- <sup>4</sup> A. I'm sorry?
- <sup>5</sup> Q. When did you get the statement from Bayside
- 6 Health?
- A. Several months ago. Maybe longer than that. I
- 8 attached it to the back-up that I gave you.
- 9 Q. That would have been your initial disclosures,
- then. So Bayside Health has not notified you that you
- owe them, is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. Why do you think that is?
- A. Well, I owe them a huge balance, so they could
- $^{15}$  have wrapped it in with that balance.
- Q. What was the balance for, the huge balance?
- A. A tubal pregnancy.
- Q. That was prior to on January '04?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So that's a -- that's why I'm asking. So prior
- $^{21}$  to January '04 you already had moneys owed with
- 22 Bayside Health?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So then they haven't come back and said the

- A. Yes. Which, again, I got that statement, so I
- <sup>2</sup> can submit that to you.
- Q. And then the next one is Bayside Health for
- January 14th, \$15. And we don't have a proof of
- <sup>5</sup> payment for that at this time.
- <sup>6</sup> A. No.
- $^{7}$  Q. Birth control from Happy Harry's on 2/1, I
- believe we did have a receipt for that?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes. P6.
- Q. And P6 indicates that it was a pharmaceutical
- in the amount of 30.75 and in the page, P20, put that
- together and you can see it's for you from Happy
- Harry's. Yes?
- $^{14}$  A. Yes.
- $^{15}$  Q. Then the last one is Bayside Health, \$15, and
- that we don't have a proof of payment for at this
- $^{17}$  time.
- <sup>18</sup> A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And then we stop there because the next one is
- into April, and as we discussed earlier, you're no
- longer requesting April damages, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So, Ms. Butz, I just want to -- without doing
- horrific math, as of today you have submitted proof of

- payment for approximately \$40. Is that how you
- <sup>2</sup> understand it?
- <sup>3</sup> A. Yes.
- <sup>4</sup> O. Do you have any other reason to believe such as
- 5 any document from MAMSI or Optimum Choice that makes
- <sup>6</sup> you think you didn't have insurance coverage? And the
- <sup>7</sup> letter -- I'm sorry. I'll clarify. And the letter
- 8 that you received from Lawns Unlimited saying they
- <sup>9</sup> were going to cancel your insurance. Anything else
- that makes you think you were not covered?
- 11 A. A letter from -- just the three letters that
- all together I got from the insurance company, and
- then one from Mr. Fleming.
- $^{14}$  Q. And the three letters, that was one that we
- $^{15}$  moved in as the exhibit that you were referring to,
- Butz 9, I think?
- 17 A. There was two like that plus another letter the
- same way my insurance was cancelled.
- 19 Q. Would they have been before that or after that
- letter we just reviewed?
- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Would they have been before or after the letter
- we just reviewed?
- Here's why I'm asking. It's not a trick

- question by any means. I have a letter that looks
- $^2$  very much, I think, like the one we just looked at,
- dated in February, but I don't have any bills that
- were attached to it. So it's actually one of my
- <sup>5</sup> questions to ask you is, what the heck does this mean?
- I give this to you, and if it's relevant we can move
- $^{7}$  it in.
- <sup>8</sup> A. I would have to look at what it's attached
- <sup>9</sup> to -- on my records, but these were things that were
- paid in the December of '03 stuff.
- 11 Q. And just because I want to make the record
- really clear, could you tell us the little numbers,
- $^{13}$  the Bates numbers at the bottom of the page, like a P
- $^{14}$  or D?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Oh, P91 or P92.
- Q. And they are, just for the record, if you could
- explain --
- A. Explanation codes for MAMSI. And it just
- indicates -- this one indicates the claim has been
- reviewed by the union. Claim was paid in accordance
- $^{21}$  to your contract. These expenses were applied to
- member's plan deductible.
- And then this one, P92, co-payments
- greater than or equal to requested allowable amount.

Page 179

- 1 No payment is forthcoming from the health plan.
- Member may be billed the co-payment only. This claim
- is paid in accordance with your contract. Our
- discount has been taken. Member may not be billed for
- <sup>5</sup> discount or contractual allowance.
- Q. Are those the ones that you're referring to?
- You said you had other letters that made you think
- insurance was cancelled?
- <sup>9</sup> A. No. There was two letters like this one.
- 10 Q. Like B or Butz --
- 11 A. Butz 9, page 1.
- <sup>12</sup> O. 2?
- <sup>13</sup> A. 2?
- <sup>14</sup> O. Yeah.
- A. And then there was another one that indicated
- my actual insurance was cancelled.
- MS. DiBIANCA: Let me see here.
- This is the one that we just looked at, so
- 19 I'm going to turn that. I have two more letters. So
- I am going to remove these altogether. Well, we'll
- $^{21}$  move those two. So this as Butz 10.
- 22 (Butz Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked for
- identification.)

24

- we take them and we scan them in as they come to us.
- We don't sort or put them in chronological order.
- That's what we do with my documents. But because
- they're yours, we don't change the order. We preserve
- 5 them. So in other words, 94 and 95, came to me
- 6 together. And they were pages before and pages after
- and they perhaps were in there but they weren't
- 8 attached to these. So you know for your reference.
- 9 Okay.
- So the other letter we don't have at this
- time, but you actually think it's in --
- 12 A. It should be -- it looks typed.
- Q. I'm with you. And we'll look for that. You
- think that was submitted?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. What about bills from Beebe Medical Center,
- have you been contacted to pay any of those?
- <sup>18</sup> A. No.
- 19 Q. I should put in context, Beebe is where you had
- your daughter.
- A. Correct.
- Q. And did you actually tender payment for the
- services related to her birth?
- A. I'm sorry?

- Q. Did they charge you money for when you checked
- <sup>2</sup> out?
- <sup>3</sup> A. I never got anything from them.
- <sup>4</sup> Q. So if you weren't covered, you believe your
- <sup>5</sup> coverage ended on --
- $^{6}$  A. The 31st.
- $^{7}$  Q. Of December and you gave birth --
- 8 A. December 30th.
- 9 Q. Okay. So you would have had coverage for less
- than, I suppose, 24 hours after the birth? Is that
- what you believe at this time?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And then when did you check out of the
- hospital?
- <sup>15</sup> A. January 1st.
- Q. So you believe there was, at least, I guess a
- day. Was there one or two days of you being in the
- hospital after you lost coverage?
- <sup>19</sup> A. I left January 1st.
- Q. So there would have been no services rendered
- on the 1st?
- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Well, knowing the hospital, knowing that they
- charge you like \$30 an aspirin, I mean, I would assume

```
Page 184
     there was some costs relating to the 1st even if all
     they did was push you in a wheelchair out the door?
 3
       Α.
             I've never gotten anything.
            Nothing from them. Okay.
       Q.
 5
                  MS. DiBIANCA: I'm going to actually take
 6
     a break so we can go off.
                  (Discussion off the record.)
                  (Whereupon an adjournment was taken herein
     until August 30, 2007, at 9:00 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Wilcox and Fetzer, Ltd. Registered Professional Reporters

302-655-0477

	Page 189
1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	SHAINA CARL
3	Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP
4	MICHAEL BUTZ
5	
6	RENEE M. BUTZ,
7	the witness herein, having first been
8	duly sworn on oath, was examined and
9	testified as follows:
10	EXAMINATION
11	MS. DiBIANCA: Welcome back. We are on
12	day 2 of Plaintiff Renee Butz's deposition.
13	In the room today are myself, Margaret
14	DiBianca for defendant Lawns Unlimited and Edward
15	Fleming. Here with me are Shaina Carl as well as
16	Edward Fleming, and our court reporter. Renee Butz is
17	in attendance and so is Michael Butz with the
18	plaintiff.
19	Welcome back. We are going to sort of
20	finish where we left off yesterday and then carry on
21	and hopefully wrap up as quickly as possible.
22	BY MS. DiBIANCA:
23	Q. Do you remember all the instructions that we
24	discussed yesterday? Would you prefer that I go over

- Q. And can you tell me how you came to reduce the
- <sup>2</sup> figures?
- A. Because I reduced it down to March of '04.
- Q. The time period?
- <sup>5</sup> A. For the medical bills.
- Q. And can you tell me why you did that?
- A. Because that's when I didn't have insurance.
- <sup>8</sup> Q. So initially you had claimed medical expenses
- <sup>9</sup> up through, it looks like, 2006?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And then subsequently you eliminated any
- medical expenses after March of 2004, is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And you did that because the medical expenses
- could be charged to your current insurance, is that
- 16 right?
- <sup>17</sup> A. Correct.
- Q. And the items listed in the right column here
- under total amount on page 4, it says medical bills
- with insurance, and then you list, starting in April
- of 2004, and then in the total amount there's figures
- there. Are those moneys that you had paid or are
- those moneys of the total bill?
- A. It says without insurance, but it was just a

- total amount of the bill. It doesn't indicate how
- $^2$  much I paid out of pocket. It just was a total
- amount.
- O. And then that's the same for the medical bills
- <sup>5</sup> without insurance and the medical bills with
- <sup>6</sup> insurance?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now, under the second entry on the list is
- <sup>9</sup> 12/30/2003, Bayside Health, C-section, \$3,500. Then
- underneath that is two entries for Beebe Medical
- 11 Center for hospital expenses, I suppose relating to
- your delivery, roughly 6500 and 1700 dollars. Are
- those related to the birth of your daughter?
- A. Yes, it is.
- 0. And what happened to those? You're no longer
- requesting those bills, that amount, right?
- A. Beebe was completely paid for.
- <sup>18</sup> Q. By?
- A. By the insurance. Insurance wasn't cancelled
- until December 31.
- Q. So the insurance has since paid for all those
- expenses on 12/30/03?
- A. Correct.
- Q. I'm back on page 3. There is also a list of

- mouth. So would it be fair for me to say that you're
- no longer seeking damages, these wages for the time
- that you were employed, when you became employed with
- Cecil County Government, and that's why?
- <sup>5</sup> A. The 2003 is basically my difference of when I
- 6 was employed with Lawns Unlimited to Cecil County
- <sup>7</sup> Government. From 2004 on, they are more or less
- 8 comparable. They are a wash.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. They are a wash to what? The two employments,
- you mean what you would have earned?
- 11 A. Correct. As far as the wages are concerned.
- Q. What do you earn at Cecil County Government?
- Are you a salary or hourly?
- A. I'm an hourly employee.
- 0. What do you earn there?
- 16 A. Now or --
- <sup>17</sup> Q. Now.
- A. Now, I -- oh, my gosh.
- Q. Are you having a hard time recalling?
- <sup>20</sup> A. I do.
- Q. How about when you started in 2004, 2003?
- <sup>22</sup> A. 2003, I believe I started at 12.75.
- Q. So, because you earned actually more at Cecil
- <sup>24</sup> County Government than you did at Lawns Unlimited and

- Q. Did you ever get like a handbook or some kind
- of employment policies?
- <sup>3</sup> A. No.
- Q. Did you ever see one of those?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. If someone had a question about that, about the
- <sup>7</sup> use of vacation time or personal time, who would they
- go to to ask?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Jeanne or Ed.
- Q. And did you have to seek approval before you
- used personal time?
- 12 A. Verbally, unless you called out sick.
- Q. Mm-hmm. And you would get approval from who?
- <sup>14</sup> A. Jeanne or Ed.
- 0. And vacation time, was that the same? How did
- you get approval for that?
- 17 A. It could be done verbally.
- Q. Were you going to say or? You said you can do
- it verbally. Can you do something else?
- A. The guys out in the field were -- had to use
- some type of form, but that was never really enforced.
- Q. What type of form?
- A. Some type of vacation request form.
- Q. Are you saying some type because you're just

- A. First there was one girl, and I can't honestly
- remember her name. She didn't stay very long.
- Q. Okay?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Then there was Laurie Schaltz. Then there was
- <sup>5</sup> Dina Alderucci. And then Debby Watson.
- MS. DiBIANCA: Let's do Butz 13.
- 7 (Butz Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for
- 8 identification.)
- 9 BY MS. DiBIANCA:
- Q. I'm just going to have a look at that, if you
- will. Then let me know when you've familiarized
- 12 yourself with it.
- 13 All set?
- $^{14}$  A. Mm-hmm.
- 0. Yes? You said you've looked over Butz 13?
- $^{16}$  A. Yes.
- 0. Can you tell us what it is for the record?
- A. It's called agenda for employee meeting.
- 19 Q. Have you seen this before?
- $^{20}$  A. Yes.
- Q. Where did you see it before?
- A. This was typed up probably by myself and
- another lady. It was typed up by me and Laurie.
- Q. Do you recall when?

- 1 Q. Then after you received the letter?
- A. I didn't get the letter until the 14th.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. So let's say January 14th you received the
- letter, and how do you remember that date?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Approximately.
- Q. Well, why do you say January 14th?
- A. Because I know I didn't get it anywhere during
- 8 that period of time.
- 9 Q. Between January 7 and 14? You said that period
- of time.
- 11 A. I know I didn't get it right after January 7th.
- 12 O. How do you know that?
- A. Because it's -- I had to sign for it. So
- $^{14}$  Mr. Fleming would have records for it of when I
- actually got it.
- Q. But I'm just saying you said January 14th, so
- 17 I'm trying to determine how that number comes to you.
- Do you actually recall receiving it, or you do not?
- 19 A. I remember signing for the letter. And it's
- approximately dated January 13th or 14th. 14th is
- sticking at my head.
- Q. All right. Fair enough. That's all I'm
- asking.
- And then so January 14th, 15th, 16th, any

- O. So it wasn't that you checked in with a
- different name, but the insurance coverage, is that
- $^{3}$  sort of --
- <sup>4</sup> A. Yeah. You have to check in by the name that's
- on your insurance policy.
- Q. Punitive damages, are you seeking punitive
- <sup>7</sup> damages?
- $^{8}$  A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In the amount of \$50,000?
- <sup>10</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Can you give me some information about now you
- came to conclude that amount?
- 13 A. That was the maximum.
- Q. It is? Interesting.
- How did you find that out?
- A. I had an attorney that -- I've talked to
- several different attorneys, and if it was a big
- corporation, they would be lined up taking the case.
- But because he's a small company, it's not enough
- money for him.
- Q. For who? I'm sorry.
- A. For the attorney.
- Q. For a specific attorney?
- A. For all the attorneys. It's just not enough

- <sup>1</sup> the maximum punitive.
- Q. What's the behavior or the conduct that you're
- 3 claiming occurred to justify punitive damages, not
- 4 necessarily tied to an amount but just generally
- 5 speaking?
- A. I was wronged, you know. There's small
- companies across this country that break the laws
- 8 every day. They need to be punished but because they
- 9 are not big corporations, people can't afford to fight
- them. Well, he needs to be stopped on the rest.
- Q. Mr. and Mrs. Fleming, you knew them personally
- and professionally?
- 13 A. I knew them professionally. And I guess you --
- 14 I thought I knew them personally.
- 0. Did you go out with them, hang out with their
- family, things like that? This is what I'm looking
- for, sort of the contact for --
- 18 A. They were invited to my weeding. They were
- invited to my baby shower. I slept in the same bed
- with their children on a business trip.
- Q. You knew their children?
- A. I did know their children.
- Q. I don't mean sort of, you know, very, very
- well, but you knew them, you've met them before

- <sup>1</sup> Mr. Ed Fleming is also a named defendant. So
- generally speaking, the Flemings.
- They knew you were pregnant, correct?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Oh, yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. They knew when you were due, correct?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Yes.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. And when were you originally expected to be
- 8 due?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Approximately January 5.
- 10 Q. And they knew that you were going to take
- maternity leave?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And they knew that your maternity leave you
- expected to be out for six weeks?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And they knew that maternity leave was not a
- paid leave, correct?
- A. Except for the vacation that I was holding,
- waiting for.
- Q. Okay. But it wasn't --
- A. The whole six weeks was not going to be paid.
- Q. There was no such thing as paid maternity
- leave?
- A. Nothing that I know of, no.

- Q. I just think, because we can probably get this
- stuff on the record that's not in dispute and instead
- of sort of haggling over it and all that nonsense. So
- $^4$  we are all in agreement up to that point.
- 5 Then you leave work. Tell me what
- 6 happens. Sort of December 23. My understanding is
- December 23, but if that's incorrect, let me know.
- 8 A. December 23 I woke up in the morning and I
- <sup>9</sup> thought my water broke.
- Q. And you're living in Wilmington or the greater
- Wilmington area?
- <sup>12</sup> A. Yes.
- And it was like, oh, I don't know. My
- husband is like, go to the hospital. So I was like,
- $^{15}$  well, I'm just going to go to Beebe. That was where
- my doctors are from. I'm not contracting. I don't
- feel these types of things, but I just had a gush of
- water. Being the first child, don't know what the
- heck is going on. So I go down there. They indicated
- my mucus plug came out. And don't ask me what a mucus
- plug is. I have no idea. That's what I was told.
- Q. What time was this on the 23rd by the time you
- got to Beebe?
- A. Around seven-ish. And while I was going down

- there, I called Jeanne and told her the situation.
- Saying, "I'm going to the hospital. I think my water
- broke. I might night not come in. Don't know."
- <sup>4</sup> Q. Stand by?
- <sup>5</sup> A. So I went there. That was when they told me my
- 6 mucus plug came out. But I was only dilated slightly,
- <sup>7</sup> to go home.
- 8 So I went to work because I knew it was --
- <sup>9</sup> I think it was payroll week. And so I was like, oh,
- got I got to get stuff done. So I wanted to wrap up a
- whole bunch of other things before I went out on
- maternity leave, you know, just my loose ends to make
- sure everything was good and everybody understood.
- Q. What time did you get to work?
- A. I think it was around eight-ish, maybe a little
- after. I wasn't at the hospital very long.
- 17 Q. How far is Beebe from Lawns?
- A. Five, ten minutes if that.
- 19 Q. So you were at Beebe for less than an hour?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. So 8:00 o'clock. You get to work. Go ahead.
- A. I told everybody the situation.
- Q. Who's everybody?
- A. Mr. Fleming and Debby.

- Q. And you told them in person?
- <sup>2</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. At 8:00 o'clock?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- $^{5}$  Q. Okay.
- A. And I called my husband, and you know, he's
- <sup>7</sup> like, you know what? You really should come home.
- <sup>8</sup> You don't know when you are going to go into labor. I
- <sup>9</sup> was, that's a good idea. I don't want to be driving
- and going into full-fledged labor and get in a car
- 11 accident.
- Q. Were you planning on giving birth?
- <sup>13</sup> A. In Beebe.
- Q. Okay. Go for it. I'm sorry.
- A. That's okay. So I didn't want to drive any
- more. So he came -- my mother and -- because I had my
- car there. My mother and my husband came to pick me
- up around, I would say, 1:30, 2:00 o'clock I guess.
- 19 Q. Okay. 1:30, 2:00 o'clock.
- A. And Mr. Fleming was actually leaving shortly
- $^{21}$  before that, and he was like, you know, if you need
- anything just, you know, holler.
- Q. Right. Right.
- A. And I said okay. You know, my in-laws had --

- work, right?
- A. He asked me why I wasn't there yet.
- Q. Okay.
- <sup>4</sup> A. I once again told him I can't drive and once
- 5 again we went kind of into the same situation as the
- <sup>6</sup> 23rd.
- $^{7}$  Q. Okay.
- A. And that's when he said that, you know, well --
- 9 you're not coming back. And I kept saying, "I give
- you my word. I give you my word."
- 11 Q. Did he say why he felt that?
- A. I don't know if I can pinpoint. I don't
- remember him -- I don't remember if he said anything
- like that. I just remember him saying that, you know,
- "you're not coming back."
- Q. And you just said, "Yes, I am." Your husband
- said, "Oh, yes, she is." And then that also ended
- pretty amicably I guess.
- A. Pretty peacefully, yes.
- Q. That's 7:00 a.m., and then what happens?
- A. Then the 26th, Mr. Fleming called for a
- computer question. I talked for about 15, 20 minutes.
- Q. That was peaceful also?
- A. That's very peaceful. Nothing was said about

- <sup>1</sup> still a little nervous.
- Q. And two subsequent phone calls and everything
- was fine, right?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Yes. There was no screaming, no yelling. It
- was all business talk and just --
- 6 O. Pleasant?
- <sup>7</sup> A. -- pleasant.
- 8 Q. So you were worried but not because anything
- <sup>9</sup> had happened subsequent to the 24th, is that right? I
- want to make sure sort of what your thoughts were as
- 11 far as uh-oh. When did you say uh-oh, when you got
- the letter?
- A. When I got the letter, I was devastated. But
- in back of my mind I was like, you know, I've seen
- $^{15}$  Mr. Fleming blow up, and I've seen him be very
- manipulative to employees. So I was hoping that I was
- not going to be that type of person that he was going
- $^{18}$  to do that to.
- 19 Q. That's exactly what I'm asking. Did you have
- any reason to think that, based on the way the
- conversation ended the 24th and then your two
- subsequent phone calls, did that give you any
- indication that there was going to be major problems?
- A. There was a little doubt in my mind, but for

- overall I really didn't think that this was going to
- <sup>2</sup> happen.
- Q. That's why you would have called and left a
- message with the baby's measurements and, you know,
- 5 the baby was born?
- A. Yeah. I mean, why else would I have called? I
- was being pleasant. If I wasn't going to be pleasant,
- 8 I would have never called him back and give him help
- 9 with his computer question. I would have been like F
- you.
- 11 Q. That's exactly what I'm saying. There was no
- sort of hostility on your end in the sense what you
- called them and let them know the good news,
- et cetera, right?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Yes.
- 16 Q. That's all I'm trying to find out, sort of when
- everything happened. It seems like the letter, that
- was the trigger, is that pretty fair?
- <sup>19</sup> A. Yeah.
- Q. So you get the letter. Then what happened?
- And the letter we've already admitted. So
- we know what that is.
- A. I was devastated. I cried and cried and cried.
- Q. Why did you cry, without stating the obvious

- just because it's important we get it all on paper?
- A. Well, you know, they treated me like family,
- and you know, you don't screw your family.
- Q. Prior to the letter you mean, they had treated
- <sup>5</sup> you like family?
- A. Yes. I mean, like I told you I slept in the
- <sup>7</sup> same bed with their children on a business trip.
- 8 That -- you're not -- that's a big no-no. You don't
- <sup>9</sup> do that. So, you know, I was treated like family.
- You know, I was treated like one of their children.
- 11 Q. So that's why it's especially hurtful, correct?
- 12 A. It was very hurtful.
- And so, then, that's when Michael Butz
- contacted him because I called him crying, going "I
- don't know what to do." And he called him up and, you
- know, he was like "just give her her job back. You
- know, just give her her job back."
- Q. Were you on the phone with -- was this a
- three-way phone call?
- A. No, it was not.
- Q. It was just Michael Butz, and was it
- Mr. Fleming?
- <sup>23</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. When was that, same day as you received the

- <sup>1</sup> letter.
- A. No. Probably -- I'm assuming it would have
- 3 been the next day.
- <sup>4</sup> O. Did you ask your father-in-law to call and see
- if he could work things out?
- A. Yes. He's more calm and collected than I am.
- O. Because did you think that it was a
- 8 misunderstanding? I mean, what did you think?
- <sup>9</sup> A. I didn't know what to think, to be honest with
- <sup>10</sup> you.
- Q. What was sort of the purpose of him calling?
- 12 A. Because I would have exploded on Ed.
- 13 Q. I understand that, but what was the objective?
- $^{14}$  Was it to --
- A. He's a businessman.
- Q. Right.
- A. So I figured a businessman, who's not
- emotional, talking to another businessman could come
- $^{19}$  to real --
- O. Realization?
- A. Yes. Thank you.
- Q. So were you hoping he would be able to
- straighten out the record or, you know, the
- relationship or the facts as they understood it? Were

- you hoping that he would say, "Rip up the letter. I'm
- sorry. We were wrong. Of course, she's coming back"?
- I just want to know what is the objective?
- <sup>4</sup> A. To get my job back. To get the insurance
- reinstated. And happy, merry could be. I go back to
- 6 work.
- $^7$  Q. So Mr. Michael Butz called. Were you in the
- 8 house when he called? Were you in the same location?
- <sup>9</sup> A. No.
- Q. So you didn't hear the telephone call?
- A. No, I didn't.
- 12 Q. Then, based on what he told you then, how did
- the telephone call go?
- Sorry. When did he tell you about the
- telephone call, right after it?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Sorry. Go ahead.
- 18 A. That's okay. He, you know, he called me back.
- 19 He said that the only way that Mr. Fleming would give
- me my job back is if I signed a labor contract and
- that he was not going to reinstate my insurance or my
- job unless I signed the labor contract.
- Q. What is a labor contract? What did you
- understand that to be?

- A. I had no clue what a labor contract is. I've
- never -- the only thing I've ever heard of a labor
- 3 contract is if you're making six figures.
- Q. So he didn't say, "This is what it would say."
- He just said "a labor contract"?
- <sup>6</sup> A. He just indicated -- I don't think specifics.
- I think it was something I had to work there for so
- 8 long or something of that nature. But I did not agree
- <sup>9</sup> to those terms of me coming back.
- Q. Then what happens?
- 11 A. That was the end of it. That's when I decided
- that there was no way that it was going to be possible
- for me to go back to Lawns Unlimited and that was when
- I did the unemployment, looked for a job, and went to
- the DDOL with the letter.
- Q. After Michael Butz talked to Ed Fleming, did
- you ever talk to Ed Fleming?
- <sup>18</sup> A. No.
- Q. Did Michael Butz talk to Mr. Fleming after
- that?
- A. I don't believe so. I think it was just that
- one conversation.
- Q. And then the next time you saw Mr. Fleming
- would have been at the unemployment board?

- A. No. I actually -- I couldn't take off my brand
- new job. So they said I could teleconference.
- Q. When was the next time you saw him?
- <sup>4</sup> A. I think today.
- Q. Yesterday?
- 6 A. Or yesterday.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. And let me see. Anything that I missed in the
- facts there as far as the timeline goes? Any other --
- like did your husband call him, did your mother call
- him, anything like that?
- <sup>11</sup> A. No.
- Q. So the only communications there were after the
- 13 letter was with Mr. Michael Butz?
- $^{14}$  A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Did you ever speak to Jeanne Fleming during
- 16 that time?
- A. Not the 23rd or 24th. Seven in the morning on
- the 23rd when I called, when I was going to the
- hospital, but I don't believe I talked to her any
- other time.
- 21 Q. Did you consider reaching out to her when you
- got the letter?
- <sup>23</sup> A. No.
- 0. Why not?

- A. You know, he indicates it was a sudden leave of
- absence, which being pregnant is not really sudden.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. Definitely not.
- <sup>4</sup> A. You know, fellow co-workers told him that I
- wasn't coming back.
- Q. Now, is this the first time you had heard about
- <sup>7</sup> this, that he states, "Our greater concern is you told
- fellow co-workers that you never had any intentions of
- 9 returning at all from your maternity leave." Is this
- the first time you had gotten that information?
- 11 A. Unless he might have said something on the
- <sup>12</sup> 24th, this is when he actually came out and said
- 13 fellow co-workers.
- Q. Were you stunned by that?
- <sup>15</sup> A. I was shocked.
- Q. Did you have any idea who he was referring to,
- or did you conclude who you thought he was talking to
- 18 about?
- 19 A. I concluded, but I wasn't really sure who he
- was talking about. I had an idea maybe.
- $^{21}$  Q. You thought it was --
- A. Debby.
- Q. And it turned out that that was, in fact, who
- $^{24}$  it was?

- $^{1}$  A. Yes.
- Q. So you were correct. Okay.
- So he says your co-workers say you told
- 4 them that you never had any intensions. Okay.
- <sup>5</sup> A. And that I accepted another job.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. Okay.
- A. He feels, you know, at this point "I feel I
- 8 must make a professional employment decision based on
- the information, and I have determined to terminate
- your employment with Lawns Unlimited effective on
- December 23rd. When you left the premises and
- cleaning out your desk and taking all your belongings
- <sup>13</sup> and " --
- Q. Did you ever call Debby Watson, knowing or sort
- $^{15}$  of suspecting and then later finding you were --
- A. I might have called her and yelled at her.
- Q. Would you have called her at work or at home?
- A. I don't recall.
- 19 Q. Did you ever call her at home?
- A. I might have.
- Q. Do you think you had her home telephone number?
- A. I might have had it programmed at one point in
- my phone because I sometimes -- I think I had some
- people programmed in my phone. I'm sorry.

- <sup>1</sup> Q. Okay. That's fine.
- So you did or you may have, I suppose, is
- that correct, called her?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And would that have been, I guess after
- ferring the letter and seeing this and seeing that
- he's saying here, you know, somebody's telling me in
- your work that you, Renee, told them things that
- 9 weren't true?
- A. I don't remember exactly.
- 11 Q. Would you have reason to have called and yelled
- 12 at her before that?
- 13 A. It might have been on the 24th.
- 0. Well, I don't know. Does that make sense? I
- mean, if he told you on the 24th that somebody, one of
- your co-workers had told him you did not have any
- intention to return. In fact, you actually got other
- employment. Would you think it would have ended
- peacefully on the phone? Sort of makes me think that
- wasn't on the 24th.
- A. You know, screaming and yelling and then making
- amends, it could end peacefully --
- 23 Q. Sure.
- A. -- because I gave him my word. I sent him an

- Q. Yes. Sure. Sure. You already said that. I'm
- sorry. You said that because you said you had to give
- your word, you were giving your word?
- $^4$  A. I was giving him my word I was coming back. I
- was devastated. I'm like, well -- and then, after we
- got off phone peaceful, I'm like maybe this is the end
- of it. So getting this letter it was a real more slap
- in the face because here my word didn't mean crap.
- <sup>9</sup> I've been there for over a year. I trained three or
- four employees that are all in the office. And I was
- 11 not trusted.
- 12 Q. So we call Debby Watson and let her have it.
- Did she say she didn't tell him anything, or what was
- her response?
- A. I don't remember her saying anything. She just
- let me vent and we kind of got off phone.
- Q. Did you sort of end on a friendly note with her
- or not so much at that point?
- A. I think it was just me venting and her going,
- <sup>20</sup> "okay."
- Q. I mean she accused you of simply being a liar,
- I think. I mean, she said that you were not going to
- come back and yet, you know, according to you, she
- knew full well you were going to come back. So she's

- saying, no, she's a liar. Would you really have been
- friendly on the phone?
- <sup>3</sup> A. I told you I yelled.
- Q. When you say venting to me, like I vent to my
- <sup>5</sup> friends. But you weren't really like more venting to
- 6 her as much as you are venting at her?
- A. I was just yelling. And I -- it was -- then I
- 8 realized that I'm being stupid and this is immature
- 9 and got off the phone because it wasn't going to make
- a difference.
- 11 Q. Okay. I understand.
- Then what happened? Then that's it, the
- department of labor, and what not, right?
- $^{14}$  A. Then that's -- all that, and then department of
- labor asked Mr. Fleming to come in for a mediation.
- Q. Right. Okay. I don't want to get too far of a
- 17 time block.
- Did you respond to this letter, the
- January 7 letter in writing at all?
- <sup>20</sup> A. No.
- Q. Did Mr. Butz tell you that might be a good
- idea, Mr. Michael Butz?
- A. I don't think he indicated it was good, bad,
- or -- I didn't respond. At that point I a made

- decision I wasn't going to come back if I had to sign
- <sup>2</sup> a labor contract.
- Q. But you never got any real information about
- what that actually meant?
- <sup>5</sup> A. No.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. And after Mr. Michael Butz called him, got
- <sup>7</sup> this information about some kind of labor contract,
- 8 came back to you, told you about it, what was sort of
- <sup>9</sup> his interpretation of the whole situation?
- A. As far as what Fleming said about the labor
- 11 contract.
- Q. Sort of what his thoughts were on it. Like
- this is nonsense or, well, I don't know what's going
- <sup>14</sup> on.
- A. He just said it's really up to you. He
- actually thought I should go look at the labor
- contract. But at that point I'm like, you know, I
- didn't have a signed labor contract when I was first
- hired. I'm not signing one now, no.
- Q. Did Mr. Butz -- was he sort of a peacemaker in
- that sense saying maybe we should move towards some
- kind of peace making?
- A. No. He just, you know, called Mr. Fleming for
- me, and he goes, you know, "Here's your option. Do

- what you want." And that's when I decided that, no,
- it wasn't a good idea for me to go back and under
- those pretenses and probably even hostility. And
- 4 that's when I decided that, you know what, I'm just
- <sup>5</sup> going to go to unemployment, see if I can collect
- that, and just check to see if I can get a job, you
- <sup>7</sup> know. I have a kid. I can't worry about that. I
- 8 need to get a job.
- 9 Q. But that was not in January, right, or that was
- in January?
- $^{11}$  A. What?
- Q. Worrying about getting a job, seeking
- employment.
- A. After this letter, that's when I went, I
- believe, to the unemployment. I went to unemployment
- pretty much with this letter. But I couldn't collect
- unemployment until that period of time because I was
- on maternity leave.
- 19 Q. The end of the six weeks?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Which makes sense to you? When they said that
- to you, you said okay.
- A. At first I didn't understand. Then they
- explained to me, with a doctor's note, I couldn't

- work, so, therefore, I understood their point of view,
- that I couldn't collect unemployment until I was
- $^{3}$  allowed to work.
- <sup>4</sup> O. The doctor's note, tell me about that. That I
- think you submitted with maybe your complaint or
- something like that. You submitted a photocopy to the
- 7 Court of a doctor's note saying you couldn't work. If
- 9 you don't recall, that's --
- <sup>9</sup> A. I remember submitting some doctor notes, but...
- Q. Just tell me about the doctor's note. I don't
- need to pull it out.
- A. Well, you know, they gave me one. I think it
- was like the 29th or 30th or something.
- 0. Who is they? Would that have been --
- 15 A. That would have been --
- <sup>16</sup> Q. -- ob/gyn?
- <sup>17</sup> A. Yes.
- And just for my records, you know, if I
- needed it, then I would have it. And then I went back
- to them saying I might need a doctor's note again.
- Q. Them being the ob/gyn?
- A. Ob/gyn. So they gave me one for the whole six
- $^{23}$  to eight weeks. The form was never asked for, so I
- never sent it to them.

- Q. Okay.
- A. But Jeanne did make the comment, "Oh, it's a
- new baby." You know, with C-sections, of course,
- you're laid up. So you can't drive, so...
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Yeah. Yeah.
- A. But she's like "but thank you for the offer."
- $^7$  O. All right. Fair enough. Excellent.
- 8 So at that time it was absolutely still
- 9 very cordial, pleasant, familial, no hostility?
- <sup>10</sup> A. No.
- 11 Q. Correct?
- A. Correct. I'm sorry.
- Q. Now, we know -- hate to get to the law of it.
- We know that you're bringing your claim under
- Title VII, right? That's Title VII of the Civil
- Rights Act of 1964, which is referred to generally as
- Title VII. Is that your understanding?
- <sup>18</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And under Title VII you have to allege you're
- in a protected class, and your protected class that
- you're alleging is pregnancy, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And do you feel or do you believe or do you
- claim that you were discriminated against because you

- were pregnant?
- $^2$  A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And who harbored some kind of bias towards
- <sup>4</sup> pregnant women, who would that have been?
- <sup>5</sup> A. There was comments during pregnancy, you know,
- even during a meeting, you know, Mr. Fleming indicated
- that the man was not allowed to take off. It was the
- woman's job to take the kids to work.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. I want to get to all that actually in great
- detail, but first I just want to know who the person
- or persons are that you believe harbored some kind of
- discriminatory animus against pregnant women?
- A. Mr. Fleming.
- Q. Did Jeanne Fleming harbor any discriminatory
- animus against women?
- A. She made comments, but it was mostly
- Mr. Fleming.
- Q. And you felt that he -- I want to get further,
- but I don't want to put words into your mouth. Do you
- know another way to say that instead of sort of the
- legal terms of it? Instead of harbors discriminatory
- 22 animus, can you say, did he not like pregnant women?
- Did he have something against pregnant women. I'm
- just trying to figure out --

- A. He believed that women should stay home to take
- <sup>2</sup> care of their children. They should be homemakers.
- O. Is his wife --
- <sup>4</sup> A. His wife is a homemaker. She works
- occasionally, but for the most part, she is a
- 6 homemaker.
- O. And they have five children?
- 8 A. Five children.
- 9 Q. And so your position is that Mr. Fleming thinks
- that women should not work who have children?
- 11 A. They should stay home.
- 0. And did any other women at Lawns Unlimited,
- other than Jeanne Fleming, did any of them have
- 14 children?
- A. No. Well, never -- there was only two women,
- and -- well, three women, but I was the only one ever
- pregnant.
- 0. Laurie. Dina. Debby. Anyone else?
- A. Dina did not have children.
- 0. Debby, did she have children?
- A. They were old -- older. They are --
- o. Over 18?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. And Laurie you already said. Dina?

- A. Laurie had two. Dina did not have any.
- Q. How old were Laurie's kids, out of the house?
- A. No. They were still in the house.
- <sup>4</sup> O. Lived with her?
- <sup>5</sup> A. At the time, yes.
- Q. And who was the person responsible for hiring
- <sup>7</sup> you?
- <sup>8</sup> A. Jeanne and Ed.
- 9 O. Joint decision?
- <sup>10</sup> A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And who would have been responsible for hiring
- 12 Laurie?
- A. Jeanne, but I sat through the interview.
- Q. All right. And would Mr. Fleming have had any
- 15 role in that?
- A. He did come and meet her because I think he was
- ecstatic because she had -- no. That was -- I'm
- thinking of Dina. I think Dina had a degree. One of
- them had a degree, so he was really ecstatic that she
- had a background like he had.
- Q. And so would he have had yea or nay power to
- decide? Would he have had veto power, I mean, to
- decide if someone was not going to get hired?
- <sup>24</sup> A. Yes.

- Q. So he could have vetoed your hiring?
- <sup>2</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And Laurie's and Debby's and Dina's?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. So I guess it's your position -- I suppose it's
- <sup>6</sup> your position that Mr. Fleming -- and I want you to
- orrect me on my part of this if it's wrong -- that
- 8 Mr. Fleming in his capacity as an agent of Lawns
- 9 Unlimited discriminated against you because you were
- pregnant?
- $^{11}$  A. Yes.
- Q. And that is, in fact, why he terminated you,
- because you were pregnant?
- <sup>14</sup> A. I believe so.
- 0. And what about the fact that he had been told,
- perhaps erroneously, but he had been told, that you
- were not returning, how did that play a role?
- A. That's his decision on that, but as far as --
- 19 Q. Do you believe that he believed that?
- A. I don't know what he thought.
- Q. Well, you know --
- A. I'm not in his brain.
- Q. You do conclude that he thought that?
- A. Based on this letter, yes.

- <sup>1</sup> Q. Yes what?
- A. Based on his letter, he believed that fellow
- 3 co-workers said I wasn't coming back.
- <sup>4</sup> Q. You believe that that was part of the reason
- that he terminated you?
- $^6$  A. Well, that was part of the reason and because I
- 7 cost him too much money in his health insurance.
- Q. And that's stated in the letter?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. The letter states that you had your baby on
- December 30th and they were asking for it to be
- extended to cover the delivery, right? Is that right,
- your health insurance?
- A. December 31th.
- Q. Which would have covered the delivery, right?
- <sup>16</sup> A. Yes.
- 17 Q. The fact that he asked the health insurance
- company to extend your coverage to cover you during
- your delivery, does that comport with the fact that
- you believe he had a discriminatory bias?
- A. Well, let's keep going. It says, "Lawns
- Unlimited has now incurred a much higher premium as a
- result of your working for us for one year to pay
- bills estimated in excess \$23,000, and then leaving

- bill, but for an employee who no longer works there.
- A. I guess it's interpreted -- interpretations and
- you know...
- <sup>4</sup> Q. I guess I understand if you think this was just
- 5 made up.
- A. I don't know how he got the figures unless he
- $^{7}$  called his insurance -- I don't know. You know. I'm
- 8 not Mr. Fleming, so...
- 9 Q. I'm not asking you to speak on his behalf. I
- just want to know what you thought when you read it.
- 11 A. That he discriminated against me. He basically
- fired me because I was out on maternity leave, that he
- was like, hell with it, she's not coming back. I'm
- firing while she's out on maternity leave. And
- obviously the EEO -- the DDOL found him because I got
- a right-to-sue letter.
- Q. Right. Well, for the record, everyone gets a
- right-to-sue letter. It's part of the process.
- 19 That's why we have an administrative process. So you
- can get a cause or no cause findings and you still get
- 21 a right to sue letter. It's the law, which there's a
- reason for that. I mean, the reason for that is
- everyone has a constitutional right to a trial by
- jury. So we couldn't implement a system where an

- administrative agency takes away that right for you to
- take your lawsuit to court.
- What the government can do is implement a
- 4 system where you have to take additional steps with an
- <sup>5</sup> administrative agency such as the department of labor
- before you get to sue. So you always have a right
- <sup>7</sup> to -- it's a constitutional right to go get a trial.
- 8 So the fact that they issue a right-to-sue letter
- 9 doesn't mean --
- 10 A. They found cause of him discriminating.
- 11 Q. Now, that may mean something. Legally it
- doesn't, but it might mean something factually.
- But when you got the letter, did you think
- that he thought -- he says, our greater concern is
- that you told fellow co-workers, dot, dot, dot, that
- you never had any intentions of returning at all from
- that maternity leave. Did you think that he was
- concerned that fellow co-workers told him that you
- never had any intentions of returning at all from your
- maternity leave? Do you think he was concerned?
- A. I believe the first or second paragraph of the
- 22 authorization and the last per -- the "per
- conversation with our health insurance" is the biggest
- thing because usually you write most important thing

- up on top and then the next important thing at the
- 2 bottom.
- Q. Well, without implying too much into the
- structural framework of letter drafting, do you think
- that he was concerned that you told fellow co-workers,
- or that he believed you had told fellow co-workers you
- were not returning from maternity leave? Do you
- 8 believe that that was the case?
- <sup>9</sup> A. It's not relevant.
- Q. I'm just asking you a question. Did you
- believe that? I mean, did you think he was lying or
- did you think Debby Watson had told him that and that
- she was lying? Did you think he had completely made
- that up? I mean, that's all I'm asking. I'm not
- asking whether these other things don't exist. I'm
- just asking this one limited piece.
- A. You know, he could have believed that, but why
- didn't he believe me over them?
- 19 Q. I'm not asking if he could. Anybody could
- have, should have, would have. I'm asking you, when
- you got this letter, did you think that is what he
- thought, in addition to other things?
- A. At first was pregnancy.
- Q. That's not -- I mean, we can go back and forth.

- I can continue to ask the same question. You can
- continue to avoid, but I'm going to continue to ask.
- <sup>3</sup> A. That was part of it, yes.
- Q. So you did think -- I mean, which is, I would
- 5 assume, in line with why you would call Debby Watson
- and say, "What are you doing?" Right?
- $^{7}$  A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Because you believed when you read this that it
- <sup>9</sup> was Debby Watson probably who had made this up, right?
- You said that you suspected it would have been Debby
- Watson that told this falsity?
- 12 A. I would assume it was her.
- Q. That's fine. I mean, that's all I'm asking is
- what you know, what your thoughts were when you
- 15 received the letter.
- So when you got the letter you said, you
- know, in addition to other things you said that Debby
- Watson must have been the one who told him this
- nonsense, right?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. So you did believe at that time that he thought
- that was fact, is that right?
- A. I believe there was a lot of facts, but that
- $^{24}$  was part -- part B of the facts.

- 1 Q. Agreed. I'm not saying it was the most
- important. I'm not saying it was the least important
- fact or where it was written in the letter. I'm just
- <sup>4</sup> asking, was it a consideration?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And was it your desire to get it straightened
- out, make the record clear?
- <sup>8</sup> A. I tried.
- 9 Q. Okay. Tell me how you tried.
- 10 A. We talked. I sent him e-mails. I mean, I
- was his employee who was there a lot longer and
- trained so many people, and I mean, it's not my job to
- convince him. It's him to believe me and understand
- that I went out on maternity leave, and he chose to
- make a bad and wrongful choice.
- Q. But after January 14th, when you received the
- letter, did you do anything to clarify it?
- 18 A. I had Mr. Butz, Michael Butz contact him.
- Q. And when Michael Butz contacted Mr. Fleming,
- was part of what he said dealing with this?
- A. It was to try to get my job back.
- Q. How did he do that?
- A. He called him and said, "Mr. Fleming, give her
- her job back. Reinstate her insurance." Mr. Fleming

- said, "Absolutely not. This is my business. I can do
- whatever the hell I want. She wants to come back,
- 3 she's got to sign a labor contract."
- I'm not signing a labor contract. I'm not
- <sup>5</sup> doing it.
- Q. Well, you didn't know what the contract was
- <sup>7</sup> right?
- <sup>8</sup> A. Exactly. Just knew it was a labor contract.
- 9 Q. Which you'd never seen except for in some -- I
- forget -- one context, I think.
- 11 A. But why would I be the only one?
- 12 Q. I'm not saying -- I'm not saying you should
- have signed it.
- $^{14}$  A. Because I was the only one that was pregnant.
- 0. I'm not saying you should have signed it. I'm
- just making sure the record is clear.
- And did Mr. Butz, Michael Butz say during
- that conversation, "Look, this is not the case.
- You're wrong. You've got it all wrong, Mr. Fleming"?
- A. I would be speculating. I'm not sure. I was
- not part of the conversation.
- Q. So as far as you know for certain, no one ever
- contacted Mr. Fleming and said, "Mr. Fleming, you've
- got it wrong; she didn't tell any co-worker that"?

- <sup>1</sup> A. I sent documentation.
- O. To him?
- A. I sent Mr. Fleming an e-mail. I've --
- $^4$  O. Wait. Wait. Wait. One step at a time.
- A. I talked to him the 23rd, the 24th.
- Q. I'm talking about after January 14th, 2004, did
- anybody that you're aware of contact Mr. Fleming and
- 8 say, "Mr. Fleming, you've got it wrong; she did not
- <sup>9</sup> tell co-workers that she not going to return, and she
- didn't accept other employment," et cetera?
- 11 A. The only conversation that I am aware of was
- when Michael Butz talked with Mr. Fleming.
- 0. Fair enough. And during that conversation that
- you are aware of, he did not say, "Mr. Fleming, this
- is not -- your letter isn't accurate"?
- <sup>16</sup> A. With --
- Q. Without speculating.
- A. Yeah. I don't know what exactly was said. I
- just know that part of it.
- MS. DiBIANCA: All right. Let's take a
- <sup>21</sup> break.
- (Luncheon recess taken.)
- MS. DiBIANCA: We will go back on the
- record. We are finishing up plaintiff's deposition in

- Butz versus Lawns Unlimited. And we are all back that
- were present for the morning session.
- 3 BY MS. DiBIANCA:
- <sup>4</sup> O. While you had a break over lunch, did you have
- 5 anything that you want to supplement or amend for the
- 6 morning session?
- <sup>7</sup> A. No.
- <sup>8</sup> Q. When you were at Lawns Unlimited when you were
- 9 covered by their insurance, did you have co-pays for
- doctor visits?
- <sup>11</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And you do or do not remember how much they
- were?
- 14 A. I don't remember.
- 15 Q. I know we went over that yesterday and I
- apologize for asking again. And so the co-pay amount
- is an amount that is your responsibility, correct?
- <sup>18</sup> A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So any amount of medical expenses that you
- would have had -- let's say if you thought that
- insurance coverage ended the 31st -- so if you went on
- the 27th to the pharmacy and got a prescription and
- you were still under Lawns health care at that point,
- 24 right?

- first question at the top is -- actually, probably
- should say, could you tell me what that is? Does that
- 3 look familiar?
- <sup>4</sup> A. It is the questionnaire form that was filled
- out, I believe, at the DDOL.
- $^6$  Q. And who filled it out.
- $^{7}$  A. Myself.
- <sup>8</sup> Q. And then the first question at the top of that
- page, I think question 20, can you tell me what that
- says?
- 11 A. "Why do you believe that you and person cited
- in 19 above are just disciplined more severely than
- those persons cited in 18 above?"
- $^{14}$  Q. And is that, your understanding of that
- $^{15}$  question is, not having read 18 and 19 above, but is
- it that -- why do you feel you were discriminated
- against? Is that how you understand that?
- $^{18}$  A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what did you respond?
- A. That I believe I was let go due to insurance
- $^{21}$  reasons, clearly mentioned in paragraph 4 of the
- letter.
- Q. And is that your position today as well?
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.

- <sup>1</sup> Q. Actually I think I'm asking a different
- question. So you're referring to the evidence of
- discrimination, is that right, what you see as
- evidence of discrimination?
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yes.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. I was thinking, why would they have
- discriminated? That's sort of -- do you see the
- 8 difference? Let me think of a better way to put it if
- <sup>9</sup> that's not clear.
- In other words, what was their motivation?
- I say they, and I just mean defendants. What was
- their motivation to act to treat you more severely?
- 13 A. For the insurance. I think it was for the
- insurance reasons and that's why they let me go. I
- $^{15}$  mean I still feel that way.
- Q. That's fine. I just want to get it on the
- 17 record.
- That's all I have for that one.
- And is it your position that Jeanne
- Fleming did not harbor a discriminatory animus based
- on pregnancy?
- A. Harbor?
- Q. Have.
- A. Does she make any -- make any comments?

- Q. No. I mean, did you have any reason to believe
- that she disliked pregnant people, pregnant women?
- A. I don't know. I mean after the letter and
- 4 comments being made, in my eyes, yes. She didn't have
- <sup>5</sup> a problem with me being pregnant.
- $^{6}$  Q. So it was Mr. and Mrs. Fleming then, is that
- <sup>7</sup> right? You believe that Mrs. Fleming actually
- 8 discriminated against you?
- <sup>9</sup> A. She wasn't in the office that often, so it was
- Mr. Fleming, he's that one that terminated me. But
- 11 Mrs. Fleming is his wife, so...
- 0. So she went along with it because she was his
- wife or because she actually was acting out towards
- pregnant people, had discriminatory bias towards
- pregnant people?
- A. Shed make comments, you know, about homemaking,
- but that's it. I mean, as far as any actions are
- concerned, it was all Mr. Fleming.
- Q. So he was the discriminatory actor?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And she had the authority to but chose not to
- act on your behalf?
- A. I guess not. She never called me.
- Q. So we agree that you definitely state that she

- did not act on your behalf. Did she have the
- authority to act on your behalf?
- A. She is one of -- she's the secretary/treasurer.
- She helped -- you know, she was part of hiring me,
- <sup>5</sup> so...
- Q. So you would assume that?
- $^{7}$  A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. That's fine.
- 9 Were you ever at any time offered
- reinstatement at Lawns Unlimited?
- 11 A. Was I ever offered reinstatement? No.
- 0. Were you offered reinstatement at the
- unemployment insurance board hearing?
- <sup>14</sup> A. No.
- 0. Was reinstatement discussed at that time?
- A. I believe it was discussed, but at that point I
- <sup>17</sup> already had a job.
- Q. So you would not have accepted it if it had
- been offered then?
- A. Correct. A little late.
- Q. And they knew you were working then, right?
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. It's your testimony you did not seek medical
- treatment for any kind of medical issue you were